

# **2017 – 2018 Magellan Care Guidelines** Introduction

Effective July 1, 2017 – June 30, 2018 Updated April 15, 2017

> Magellan HEALTHCARE ...

Magellan Care Guidelines © 2007-2018 Magellan Health, Inc.

# **Table of Contents**

<u>тос</u>

Preamble - Principles of Medical Necessity Determinations	3
Medical Necessity Definition	5
Levels of Care & Service Definitions	6
Magellan Care Guidelines	
Term Definitions	

# Preamble - Principles of Medical Necessity Determinations

Magellan uses MCG Care Guidelines, along with its proprietary clinical criteria, Magellan Healthcare Guidelines, as the primary decision support tools for our Utilization Management Program. Collectively, they are known as the *Magellan Care Guidelines*.

Magellan also uses ASAM criteria and other state-developed guidelines (e.g. TCADA guidelines for cases in Texas) for management of substance use services when required by state regulations or an account. All guidelines meet federal, state, industry accreditation, and account contract requirements. They are based on sound scientific evidence for recognized settings of behavioral health services and are designed to decide the medical necessity and clinical appropriateness of services.

#### Individualized, Needs-Based, Least-Restrictive Treatment

Magellan<sup>1</sup> is committed to the philosophy of providing treatment at the most appropriate, least-restrictive level of care necessary to provide safe and effective treatment and meet the individual patient's biopsychosocial needs. We see the continuum of care as a fluid treatment pathway, where patients may enter treatment at any level and be moved to more or less-intensive settings or levels of care as their changing clinical needs dictate. At any level of care, such treatment is individualized, active and takes into consideration the patient's stage of readiness to change/readiness to participate in treatment.

The level of care criteria that follow are guidelines for determining medical necessity for the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders Fifth Edition (DSM-5<sup>TM</sup>)* disorders. Individuals may at times seek admission to clinical services for reasons other than medical necessity, e.g., to comply with a court order, to obtain shelter, to deter antisocial behavior, to deter runaway/truant behavior, to achieve family respite, etc. However, these factors do not alone determine a medical necessity decision. Further, coverage for services is subject to the limitations and conditions of the member benefit plan. Specific information in the member's contract and the benefit design for the plan dictate which medical necessity criteria are applicable.

Although the Magellan Care Guidelines are divided into "psychiatric" and "substancerelated" sets to address the patient's primary problem requiring each level of care,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In California, Magellan does business as Human Affairs International of California, Inc. and/or Magellan Health Services of California, Inc. – Employer Services. Other Magellan entities include Magellan Healthcare, Inc. f/k/a Magellan Behavioral Health, Inc.; Merit Behavioral Care; Magellan Health Services of Arizona, Inc.; Magellan Behavioral Care of Iowa, Inc.; Magellan Behavioral Health of Florida, Inc.; Magellan Behavioral of Michigan, Inc.; Magellan Behavioral Health of Nebraska, Inc.; Magellan Behavioral Health of New Jersey, LLC; Magellan Behavioral Health of Pennsylvania, Inc.; Magellan Behavioral Health Providers of Texas, Inc.; and their respective affiliates and subsidiaries; all of which are affiliates of Magellan Health, Inc. (collectively "Magellan").

psychiatric and substance-related disorders are often co-morbid. Thus, it is very important for all treatment facilities and providers to be able to assess these co-morbidities and address them along with the primary problem.

#### **Clinical Judgment and Exceptions**

The Magellan Care Guidelines direct both providers and reviewers to the most appropriate level of care for a patient. While these criteria will assign the safest, most effective and least restrictive level of care in nearly all instances, an infrequent number of cases may fall beyond their definition and scope. Thorough and careful review of each case, including consultation with supervising clinicians, will identify these exceptions. As in the review of non-exceptional cases, clinical judgment consistent with the standards of good medical practice will be used to resolve these exceptional cases.

All medical necessity decisions about proposed admission and/or treatment, other than outpatient, are made by the reviewer after receiving a sufficient description of the current clinical features of the patient's condition that have been gathered from a face-to-face evaluation of the patient by a qualified clinician. Medical necessity decisions about each patient are based on the clinical features of the individual patient relative to the patient's socio-cultural environment, the medical necessity criteria, and the real resources available. We recognize that a full array of services is not available everywhere. When a medically necessary level does not exist (e.g., rural locations), we will support the patient through extra-contractual benefits, or we will authorize a higher than otherwise necessary level of care to ensure that services are available that will meet the patient's essential needs for safe and effective treatment.

# **Medical Necessity Definition**

Magellan reviews mental health and substance abuse treatment for medical necessity. Magellan defines medical necessity as: "Services by a provider to identify or treat an illness that has been diagnosed or suspected. The services are:

- 1. consistent with:
  - a. the diagnosis and treatment of a condition; and
  - b. the standards of good medical practice;
- 2. required for other than convenience; and
- 3. the most appropriate supply or level of service.

# When applied to inpatient care, the term means: the needed care can only be safely given on an inpatient basis."

Each criteria set, within each level of care category (see below) is a more detailed elaboration of the above definition for the purposes of establishing medical necessity for these health care services. Each set is characterized by admission and continued stay criteria. The admission criteria are further delineated by severity of need and intensity and quality of service.

Particular rules in each criteria set apply in guiding a provider or reviewer to a medically necessary level of care (please note the possibility and consideration of exceptional patient situations described in the preamble when these rules may not apply). For admission, both the severity of need and the intensity and quality of service criteria must be met. The continued stay of a patient at a particular level of care requires the continued stay criteria to be met (Note: this often requires that the admission criteria are still fulfilled). Specific rules for the admission and continued stay groupings are noted within the criteria sets.

Magellan Care Guidelines do not supersede state or Federal law or regulation, including Medicare National or Local Coverage Determinations, concerning scope of practice for licensed, independent practitioner, e.g., advanced practice nurses.

# Levels of Care & Service Definitions

Magellan believes that optimal, high-quality care is best delivered when patients receive care that meets their needs in the least-intensive, least-restrictive setting possible. Magellan's philosophy is to endorse care that is safe and effective, and that maximizes the patient's independence in daily activity and functioning.

Magellan has defined nine levels of care as detailed below. These levels of care may be further qualified by the distinct needs of certain populations who frequently require behavioral health services. Children, adolescents, geriatric adults and those with substance use and eating disorders often have special concerns not present in adults with mental health disorders alone. In particular, special issues related to family/support system involvement, physical symptoms, medical conditions and social supports may apply. More specific criteria sets in certain of the level of care definitions address these population issues. *These nine levels of care are specific to the account or health plan benefit design and may not all apply to all Magellan accounts.* The ten levels of care definitions are:

### 1. Hospitalization

- a. Hospitalization describes the highest level of skilled psychiatric and substance abuse services provided in a facility. This could be a free-standing psychiatric hospital, a psychiatric unit of general hospital or a detoxification unit in a hospital. Settings that are eligible for this level of care are licensed at the hospital level and provide 24-hour medical and nursing care.
- b. This definition also includes crisis beds, hospital-level rehabilitation beds for substance use disorders and 23-hour beds that provide a similar, if not greater, intensity of medical and nursing care. For crisis and 23-hour programs, the psychiatric hospitalization criteria apply for medical necessity reviews. For hospitallevel substance abuse rehabilitation, the Hospitalization, Rehabilitation Treatment, Substance Use Disorder criteria set applies. For subacute hospitalization, the Hospitalization, Subacute criteria set applies.

### 2. Subacute Hospitalization

- a. The subacute hospital level of care is designed to meet the needs of a patient with mental health problems that require an inpatient setting due to potential for harm to self or to others or potential for harm to self due to an inability to adequately care for his/her personal needs without presenting an imminent threat to himself/herself or to others.
- b. The purpose of subacute care programs is to provide rehabilitation and recovery services and to assist in a patient's return to baseline function and transition back into the community. Subacute care programs serve patients who require less-intensive care than traditional acute hospital care, but more intensive care than residential treatment. Twenty-four hour monitoring and supervision by a multidisciplinary behavioral health treatment team provide a safe and effective treatment environment.

c. Patients in this setting should have adequate impulse control and the ability to cooperate with staff to communicate effectively and accomplish the tasks of daily living with minimal support. Treatment includes daily psychiatric nursing evaluation and intervention, direct services at least three times weekly, direct services by a psychiatrist (including medication management), psychotherapy and social interventions in a structured therapeutic setting. Psychiatric and medical services are available 24-hours a day, seven days a week in the case of emergencies. When indicated (and especially for children and adolescents), families and/or guardians are involved in the treatment process. Patients are ready for discharge from this level of care when they show good impulse control, medication compliance, effective communication and the ability to accomplish activities of daily living consistent with their developmental capabilities.

#### 3. 23-Hour Observation

- a. The main objective of 23-hour observation is to promptly evaluate and stabilize individuals presenting in a crisis situation. This level of care provides up to 23 hours and 59 minutes of observation and crisis stabilization, as needed. Care occurs in a secure and protected environment staffed with appropriate medical and clinical personnel, including psychiatric supervision and 24-hour nursing coverage.
- b. Aspects of care include a comprehensive assessment and the development and delivery of a treatment plan. The treatment plan should emphasize crisis intervention services intended to stabilize and restore the individual to a level of functioning that does not necessitate hospitalization. In addition, 23-hour observation may be used to complete an evaluation to determine diagnostic clarification to establish the appropriate level of care. As soon as the risk level is determined, diagnostic clarity is established, and/or crisis stabilization has been achieved, appropriate referral and linkage to follow-up services will occur.
- c. If clinical history or initial presentation suggested that the individual required a secure and protected inpatient level of care for more than 23 hours and 59 minutes, this level of care would not be appropriate.

#### 4. Residential Treatment

- a. Residential Treatment is defined as a 24-hour level of care that provides persons with long-term or severe mental disorders and persons with substance-related disorders with residential care. This care is medically monitored, with 24-hour medical and nursing services availability. Residential care typically provides less intensive medical monitoring than subacute hospitalization care. Residential care includes treatment with a range of diagnostic and therapeutic behavioral health services that cannot be provided through existing community programs. Residential care also includes training in the basic skills of living as determined necessary for each patient. Residential treatment for alcohol and substance abuse are included in this level of care. Settings that are eligible for this level of care are licensed at the residential intermediate level or as an intermediate care facility (ICF). Licensure requirements for this level of care may vary by state.
- b. Sex Offender Residential Treatment provides a therapeutic treatment program in a 24- hour residential facility for individuals with severe emotional and/or psychological treatment needs as well as a history of sexually assaultive or abusive behavior,

sexually reactive behavior, or sexual adjustment issues. This care is medically monitored, with 24-hour medical and nursing services availability. Treatment includes a range of diagnostic and therapeutic behavioral health services that cannot be provided through existing community programs. In addition to treating psychiatric conditions, Sexual Offender Residential provides a high level of structure and supervision while offering intensive sex offender-specific treatment that is based on the current research and best practices. The residential program will address sex offender and/or other risk factors that have contributed to the sexually maladaptive behavior with an ongoing emphasis on relapse prevention and victim awareness. An individualized treatment plan is developed to lower recidivism risk and help the patient successfully reintegrate into the community. Residential Care also treats cooccurring mental health disorders through intensive individual, group, and family therapy. Settings that are eligible for this level of care are licensed at the residential intermediate level or as an intermediate care facility (ICF). Licensure requirements for this level of care may vary by state.

#### 5. Supervised Living

- a. Supervised Living for substance-related disorders includes community-based residential detoxification programs, community-based residential rehabilitation in halfway and quarterway houses, group homes, specialized foster care homes which serve a limited number of individuals in community-based, home-like settings, and other residential settings which require abstinence.
- b. Supervised Living for mentally ill individuals includes community residential crisis intervention units, supervised apartments, halfway houses, group homes, foster care that serves a limited number of individuals (e.g., group homes generally serve up to eight; foster care homes generally serve one or two) in community-based, home-like settings, and other residential settings which provide supervision and other specialized custodial services.
- c. This level of care combines outpatient treatment on an individual, group and/or family basis (usually provided by outside practitioners) with assistance and supervision in managing basic day-to-day activities and responsibilities outside the patient's home. These settings are often licensed as halfway houses or group homes depending on the state.

#### 6. Partial Hospitalization

These programs are defined as structured and medically supervised day, evening and/or night treatment programs. Program services are provided to patients at least 4 hours/day and are available at least 3 days/week. The services include medical and nursing, but at less intensity than that provided in a hospital setting. The patient is not considered a resident at the program. The range of services offered is designed to address a mental health and/or substance-related disorder through an individualized treatment plan provided by a coordinated multidisciplinary treatment team.

#### 7. Intensive Outpatient Programs

Intensive outpatient programs are defined as having the capacity for planned, structured, service provision of at least 2 hours per day and 3 days per week, although some patients may need to attend less often. These encounters are usually comprised of coordinated and integrated multidisciplinary services. The range of services offered are designed to address a mental or a substance-related disorder and could include group, individual, family or multi-family group psychotherapy, psychoeducational services, and adjunctive services such as medical monitoring. These services would include multiple or extended treatment/rehabilitation/counseling visits or professional supervision and support. Program models include structured "crisis intervention programs," "psychiatric or psychosocial rehabilitation," and some "day treatment." (Although treatment for substance-related disorders typically includes involvement in a self-help program, such as Alcoholics Anonymous or Narcotics Anonymous, program time as described here excludes times spent in these self-help programs, which are offered by community volunteers without charge).

#### 8. Outpatient Treatment

Outpatient treatment is typically individual, family and/or group psychotherapy, and consultative services (including nursing home consultation). Times for provision of these service episodes range from fifteen minutes (e.g., medication checks) to fifty minutes (e.g., individual, conjoint, family psychotherapy), and may last up to two hours (e.g., group psychotherapy).

#### 9. Ambulatory

Ambulatory services are outpatient treatment services, provided by qualified mental health professionals and directed toward reversing symptoms of acute mental health disorders, and/or substance use disorders in order to facilitate improvement, maintain stability and increase functional autonomy for persons with various forms of mental health and substance use disorders. Outpatient services are specific in targeting the symptoms or problem being treated. Examples of types of Counseling and Psychotherapy include the following:

- individual psychotherapy
- behavioral therapy
- medication management
- shared medical appointments
- psychiatric, psychological, and psychosocial assessment
- group psychotherapy
- conjoint/marital therapy
- family therapy.
- outpatient detox services
- outpatient buprenorphine maintenance services

Common settings or sites for these services include providers' offices and clinics.

#### **10. Day Treatment**

Day treatment consists of a community-based mix of psychosocial treatment (including individual, family, and group-based psychotherapy), educational, and recreational activities for patients with behavioral health conditions associated with functional impairment (e.g., inability to maintain full-time engagement in work, school, or home environment as appropriate). Day treatment is designed to address issues that are chronic in nature, rather than acute exacerbations or urgent clinical issues; services tend to overlap with regular school or work schedules, and typically are of longer duration than intensive outpatient or partial hospital programs (e.g., an adolescent in day treatment may be enrolled in a program which lasts for the entire school year. While patients for whom day treatment is indicated do not require the intensity of services available in an intensive outpatient or partial hospital program, some day treatment programs provide diagnostic, medical, psychiatric, or other adjunctive treatment modalities, either directly or through arrangements made by the program. These services may be provided over an extended period of time

# Magellan Care Guidelines

# **MCG Care Guidelines**

Below is a list of the MCG Care Guidelines we will use for 2017 – 2018 (varies by account). To view a copy of the MCG Care Guidelines, please contact Magellan Health.

MCG Care Guidelines for 2017 -2018
Inpatient Behavioral Health Level of Care, Adult
Inpatient Behavioral Health Level of Care, Child or Adolescent
Acute Outpatient Behavioral Health Level of Care, Adult
Acute Outpatient Behavioral Health Level of Care, Child or Adolescent
Residential Acute Behavioral Health Level of Care, Adult
Residential Acute Behavioral Health Level of Care, Child or Adolescent
Partial Hospital Behavioral Health Level of Care, Adult
Partial Hospital Behavioral Health Level of Care, Child or Adolescent
Intensive Outpatient Program Behavioral Health Level of Care, Adult
Intensive Outpatient Program Behavioral Health Level of Care, Child or Adolescent
Eating Disorders, Intensive Outpatient Program Behavioral Health Level of Care
Eating Disorders, Inpatient Behavioral Health Level of Care
Eating Disorders, Partial Hospital Behavioral Health Level of Care
Eating Disorders, Residential Behavioral Health Level of Care
Substance-Related Disorders, Inpatient Behavioral Health Level of Care, Adult
Substance-Related Disorders, Inpatient Behavioral Health Level of Care, Child or Adolescent
Substance-Related Disorders, Residential Behavioral Health Level of Care, Adult
Substance-Related Disorders, Residential Behavioral Health Level of Care, Child or Adolescent
Substance-Related Disorders, Partial Hospital Behavioral Health Level of Care, Adult
Substance-Related Disorders, Partial Hospital Behavioral Health Level of Care, Child or Adolescent
Substance-Related Disorders, Intensive Outpatient Program Behavioral Health Level of Care, Adult
Substance-Related Disorders, Intensive Outpatient Program Behavioral Health Level of Care, Child or Adolescent
Observation Behavioral Health Level of Care, Adult
Electroconvulsive Therapy (ECT)
Substance-Related Disorders, Acute Outpatient Behavioral Health Level of Care, Adult
Substance-Related Disorders, Acute Outpatient Behavioral Health Level of Care, Child or Adolescent
Day Treatment Behavioral Health Level of Care

# **Magellan Healthcare Guidelines**

Below is a list of Magellan Healthcare Guidelines we will use for 2017 – 2018 (varies by account). To view a copy of the Magellan Healthcare Guidelines, visit www.magellanprovider.com.

Magellan Healthcare Guidelines for 2017 - 2018
Ambulatory, Substance Use Disorders, Buprenorphine Maintenance
Ambulatory, Substance Use Disorders, Methadone Maintenance
Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation Treatment
Outpatient Applied Behavior Analysis
Residential Treatment, Sexual Offender, Child and Adolescent
Subacute Hospitalization, Psychiatric, Adult and Geriatric
Subacute Hospitalization, Psychiatric, Child and Adolescent
Psychological Testing
Neuropsychological Testing
Supervised Living, Psychiatric, Adult and Geriatric
Supervised Living, Psychiatric, Child and Adolescent
Supervised Living, Substance Use Disorders, Rehabilitation, Child and Adolescent
Supervised Living, Substance Use Disorders, Rehabilitation, Adult and Geriatric

# **Term Definitions**

## 1. Family:

Individuals identified by an adult as part of his/her family or identified by a legal guardian on behalf of children. Examples would include parents/step-parents, children, siblings, extended family members, guardians, or other caregivers.

## 2. Support System:

A network of personal (natural) or professional contacts available to a person for practical, clinical, or moral support when needed. Examples of personal or natural contacts would include friends, church, school, work and neighbors. Professional contacts would include primary care physician, psychiatrist, psychotherapist, treatment programs (such as clubhouse, psychiatric rehabilitation), peer specialists, and community or state agencies.

## 3. Significant Improvement:

- a. Services provided at any level of care must reasonably be expected to improve the patient's condition in a meaningful and measurable manner. The expectation is that the patient can accomplish the following in the current treatment setting: continue to make measurable progress, as demonstrated by a further reduction in psychiatric symptoms, or
- b. Acquire requisite strengths in order to be discharged or move to a less restrictive level of care.
- c. The treatment must, at a minimum, be designed to alleviate or manage the patient's psychiatric symptoms so as to prevent relapse or a move to a more restrictive level of care, while improving or maintaining the patient's level of functioning. "Significant Improvement" in this context is measured by comparing the effect of continuing treatment versus discontinuing it. Where there is a reasonable expectation that if treatment services were withdrawn, the patient's condition would deteriorate, relapse further, or require a move to a more restrictive level of care, this criterion would be met.
- d. For most patients, the goal of therapy is restoration to the level of functioning exhibited prior to the onset of the illness. For other psychiatric patients, particularly those with long-term, chronic conditions control of symptoms and maintenance of a functional level to avoid further deterioration or hospitalization is an acceptable interpretation of "significant improvement".

## 4. Qualified Healthcare Professional:

An individual that is independently licensed and credentialed by and contracted with Magellan, who performs a service within their scope of practice as permitted by applicable state and/or federal law.

#### 5. Physician:

Doctors of medicine (MD) and doctors of osteopathic medicine (DO) with an unrestricted license to practice medicine.

#### 6. Geriatric

Generally, 65 years of age or older however treatment must not only address chronological age, but emotional and physical conditions.

#### 7. Adolescent

Experts generally agree that no one chronological age defines the end of adolescence. Rather, it is determined by considering a number of factors including chronological age, maturity, school and social status, family relationships, and living situation. For purposes of consistency, it is suggested that child and adolescent criteria sets be applied to individuals 17 years of age or younger.

#### 8. Standardized Screening Tools

Tools used for cognitive assessment include, but are not limited to, the Mini-Mental Status Examination (MMSE) and the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA).



### Guideline: Ambulatory, Substance Use Disorders, Buprenorphine Maintenance

Effective Date: July 1, 2017

### Last Review Date: April 15, 2017

It is recognized that life threatening intoxication/poisoning (i.e., endangering vital functions - central nervous system, cardiac, respiratory) may need acute medical attention but that attention is generally not considered detoxification. In such cases, general medical/surgical criteria are applied instead of these criteria for detoxification.

#### **Criteria for Admission**

The specified requirements for severity of need and intensity and quality of service must be met to satisfy the criteria for admission.

#### I. Admission - Severity of Need

Criteria A, B, and C must be met to satisfy the criteria for severity of need.

- A. The patient has a recent history and pattern of continuous use of opioid substances that have withdrawal syndromes and require medically supervised outpatient treatment to prevent complications. Withdrawal symptoms are such that they do not require 24-hour access to physician and/or nurse monitoring, nor is there a history of medically complicated withdrawal in the past.
- B. Presence of mild to moderate withdrawal symptoms may be safely managed outside a residential or inpatient setting as evidenced by:
  - 1) an absence of a withdrawal history of delirium tremens, seizures, or other life-threatening reactions to long-term substance use, such as alcohol or sedative-hypnotics dependence, and
  - 2) an absence of complicating psychiatric or medical illness that would require 24-hour inpatient or residential treatment, and
  - 3) a COWS score in the mild to moderate range or the equivalent on a standardized scale for assessment of withdrawal symptoms, and
  - 4) family and/or social support is available to assist the patient during detoxification
- C. The patient has expressed a desire to enter or continue rehabilitation treatment or self-help recovery.

#### II. Admission - Intensity and Quality of Service

Criteria A, B, C, D, and E must be met to satisfy the criteria for intensity and quality of service.

- A. The evaluation and assignment of the diagnosis must take place in a face-to-face evaluation of the patient performed and documented by an attending physician who carries the correct Buprenorphine DEA waiver.
- B. This care must provide an individual plan of active medical treatment. Adequate arrangements should be made for treatment of withdrawal symptoms during the times when the treating physician is not available.
- C. Documentation that blood and/or urine drug screen is ordered upon commencement of treatment.
- D. Treatment includes an individualized treatment plan based on an evaluation of both mental health and substance abuse conditions and includes aftercare needs, including encouragement of member to participate in substance use disorder counseling and/or appropriate support resources, such as 12-step type formats and cognitive based formats.
- E. Treatment interventions are guided by quantitative measures of withdrawal such as COWS.

#### **Criteria for Continued Stay**

#### **III. Continued Stay**

- Criteria A, B, C, D, E, and F must be met to satisfy the criteria for continued stay.
- A. Admission criteria continue to be met.
- B. The patient's condition does not require a higher level of care.
- C. Documentation of signs, symptoms and improvement in steadfast opioid sobriety and abstinence with the ongoing assessment and treatment plan addressing, re-evaluating, and modified as medically appropriate to ensure continued sober success.
- D. Patient is adhering to treatment recommendations, or non-adherence is addressed with the patient and barriers are identified, interventions are modified, and/or treatment plan is revised as appropriate. Use of random blood and/or urine drug screen is a component of monitoring for adherence to treatment recommendations.
- E. A discharge plan is formulated that is directly linked to the behaviors and/or symptoms that resulted in treatment. The discharge plan receives regular review and revision that includes ongoing plans for timely access to treatment resources that will meet the patient's post-maintenance needs. This plan includes attempts to link to outpatient primary care and ongoing behavioral health counseling (addressing appropriate mental health and substance disorder needs) after obtaining patient consent).
- F. All applicable elements in Admission-Intensity and Quality of Service Criteria are applied as related to assessment and treatment, if clinically relevant and appropriate.

#### **Bibliography**

- Albright, J., Ciaverelli, R., Essex, A., Tkacz, J., Ruetsch, C. (2010). Psychiatrist Characteristics that Influence Use of Buprenorphine Medication-Assisted Treatment. *Journal of Addiction Medicine*: 21 January 2010. 10.1097
- 2. Alford, D., Compton, P., & Samet, J. (2006). Acute pain management for patients receiving maintenance methadone or Buprenorphine therapy. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, *144*(2), 127-134.
- Boothby, L., & Doering, P. (2007). Buprenorphine for the treatment of opioid dependence. *American Journal of Health-System Pharmacy: AJHP: Official Journal of The American Society of Health-System Pharmacists*, 64(3), 266-272.
- 4. Caldiero, RM; Parran, TV Jr; Adelman, CL; Piche, B. (2006) Inpatient initiation of Buprenorphine maintenance vs. detoxification: can retention of opioid-dependent patients in outpatient counseling be improved? *The American Journal on Addictions / American Academy of Psychiatrists in Alcoholism and Addictions [Am J Addict]* 2006 Jan-Feb; Vol. 15 (1), pp. 1-7.
- Center for Substance Abuse Treatment. *Clinical Guidelines for the Use of Buprenorphine in the Treatment of Opioid Addiction*. Treatment Improvement Protocol (TIP) Series 40. DHHS Publication No. (SMA) 04-3939. Rockville, MD: Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, 2004.
- Center for Substance Abuse Treatment. Medication-Assisted Treatment for Opioid Addiction in Opioid Treatment Programs. Treatment Improvement Protocol (TIP) Series 43. DHHS Publication No. (SMA) 05-4048. Rockville, MD: Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, 2005.
- 7. Donaher, P., & Welsh, C. (2006). Managing opioid addiction with Buprenorphine. *American Family Physician*, 73(9), 1573-1578.
- 8. Fiellin, D., Kleber, H., Trumble-Hejduk, J., McLellan, A., & Kosten, T. (2004). Consensus statement on office-based treatment of opioid dependence using Buprenorphine. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*, 27(2), 153-159.
- 9. Fiellin, D., Pantalon, M., Chawarski, M., Moore, B., Sullivan, L., O'Connor, P., et al. (2006). Counseling plus Buprenorphine-Naloxone maintenance therapy for opioid dependence. *The New England Journal of Medicine*, *355*(4), 365-374.
- 10. Fudala, P., Bridge, T., Herbert, S., Williford, W., Chiang, C., Jones, K., et al. (2003). Office-based treatment of opiate addiction with a sublingual-tablet formulation of Buprenorphine and Naloxone. *The New England Journal of Medicine*, *349*(10), 949-958.
- 11. Gandhi, D., Jaffe, J., McNary, S., Kavanagh, G., Hayes, M., & Currens, M. (2003). Short-term outcomes after brief ambulatory opioid detoxification with Buprenorphine in young heroin users. *Addiction (Abingdon, England), 98*(4), 453-462.

- 12. Gerra, G., Borella, F., Zaimovic, A., Moi, G., Bussandri, M., Bubici, C., et al. (2004). Buprenorphine versus methadone for opioid dependence: predictor variables for treatment outcome. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, 75(1), 37-45.
- Horspool, MJ; Seivewright, N; Armitage, CJ; Mathers, N. (2008). Post-Treatment Outcomes of Buprenorphine Detoxification in Community Settings: A Systematic Review. *European Addiction Research*; 2008, Vol. 14 Issue 4, p179-185.
- 14. Kakko, J., Grönbladh, L., Svanborg, K., von Wachenfeldt, J., Rück, C., Rawlings, B., et al. (2007). A stepped care strategy using Buprenorphine and methadone versus conventional methadone maintenance in heroin dependence: a randomized controlled trial. *The American Journal of Psychiatry*, 164(5), 797-803.
- 15. Katz EC; Schwartz RP; King S; Highfield DA; O'Grady KE; Billings T; Gandhi D; Weintraub E; Glovinsky D; Barksdale W; Brown BS. (2009). Brief vs. extended Buprenorphine detoxification in a community treatment program: engagement and short-term outcomes. The American Journal of Drug and Alcohol Abuse [Am J Drug Alcohol Abuse] 2009; Vol. 35 (2), pp. 63-7.
- 16. Manlandro, J. (2007). Using Buprenorphine for outpatient opioid detoxification. *The Journal of the American Osteopathic Association*, 107(9 Suppl 5), ES11-ES16.
- Matson, S., Hobson, G., Abdel-Rasoul, M., & Bonny, A. (2014). A retrospective study of retention of opioid-dependent adolescents and young adults in an outpatient buprenorphine/naloxone clinic. Journal Of Addiction Medicine, 8(3), 176-182.
- Mitchell, S.G., Gryczynski, J., Schwartz, R.P., O'Grady, K.E., Olsen, Y.K., Jaffe, J.H. (2013). A randomized trial of intensive outpatient (IOP) vs. standard outpatient (OP) buprenorphine treatment for African Americans. Drug and Alcohol Dependence, 128: 222-229.
- 19. Montoya, I., Gorelick, D., Preston, K., Schroeder, J., Umbricht, A., Cheskin, L., et al. (2004). Randomized trial of Buprenorphine for treatment of concurrent opiate and cocaine dependence. *Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics*, 75(1), 34-48.
- 20. Neumann, A., Blondell, R., Azadfard, M., Nathan, G., & Homish, G. (2013). Primary care patient characteristics associated with completion of 6-month buprenorphine treatment. Addictive Behaviors, 38(11), 2724-2728.
- 21. Robinson, S. (2006). Buprenorphine-containing treatments: place in the management of opioid addiction. CNS Drugs, 20(9), 697-712.
- 22. Schottenfeld, R., Chawarski, M., Pakes, J., Pantalon, M., Carroll, K., & Kosten, T. (2005). Methadone versus Buprenorphine with contingency management or performance feedback for cocaine and opioid dependence. *The American Journal of Psychiatry*, *162*(2), 340-349.
- 23. Sittambalam, C., Vij, R., & Ferguson, R. (2014). Buprenorphine Outpatient Outcomes Project: can Suboxone be a viable outpatient option for heroin addiction? Journal Of Community Hospital Internal Medicine Perspectives, 4doi:10.3402/jchimp.v4.22902

24. Woody GE, Poole SA, Subramaniam G, Dugosh K, Bogenschutz M, Abbott P, Patkar A, Publicker M, McCain K, Potter JS, Forman R, Vetter V, McNicholas L, Blaine J, Lynch KG, Fudala P. (2008). Extended vs. short-term buprenorphine-naloxone for treatment of opioid-addicted youth: a randomized trial. *JAMA*. 2008 Nov 5; 300 (17):2003-11.



### **Guideline: Ambulatory Substance Use, Methadone Maintenance, Adult**

Effective Date: July 1, 2017

Last Review Date: September 30, 2016

It is recognized that life-threatening intoxication/poisoning (i.e., endangering vital functions - central nervous system, cardiac, respiratory) may need acute medical attention, but that attention is generally not considered detoxification. In such cases, general medical/surgical criteria are applied instead of these criteria for detoxification.

#### Criteria for Admission

The specified requirements for severity of need and intensity and quality of service must be met to satisfy the criteria for admission.

#### I. Admission - Severity of Need

Criteria A, B and C must be met to satisfy the criteria for severity of need.

- A. The patient has a recent history and pattern of continuous use of opioid substances that have withdrawal syndromes and require medically supervised outpatient treatment to prevent complications. Withdrawal symptoms are such that they do not require 24-hour access to physician and/or nurse monitoring.
- B. Presence of mild to moderate withdrawal symptoms may be safely managed outside a residential or inpatient setting as evidenced by:
  - 1) If there is a withdrawal history of delirium tremens, seizures, medical complications, or other life-threatening reactions to long-term substance use, then appropriate withdrawal management services (e.g., ambulatory detox, inpatient detox) have been provided prior to initiation of methadone maintenance *and*
  - 2) An absence of complicating psychiatric or medical illness that would require 24hour inpatient or residential treatment, *and*
  - 3) A COWS score in the mild to moderate range or the equivalent on a standardized scale for assessment of withdrawal symptoms, *and*
  - 4) Family and/or social support is available to assist the patient during maintenance or detoxification, *and*
  - 5) A history of at least two prior failed opioid detoxification experiences (where there is a return to further opioid dependence) either of institutional or personal attempts.

C. The patient has expressed a desire to enter or continue substance use treatment or selfhelp recovery.

#### II. Admission - Intensity and Quality of Service

Criteria A, B, C, D, E, F, G, and H must be met to satisfy the criteria for intensity and quality of service.

- A. The evaluation and assignment of the diagnosis must take place in a face-to-face evaluation of the patient, performed and documented by an attending physician.
- B. The patient must have become addicted at least 1 year before admission for treatment. Provider may waive this requirement for patients released from penal institutions with a documented history of opioid use disorder (within 6 months after release), for pregnant patients (program physician must certify pregnancy), and for previously treated patients (up to 2 years after discharge).
- C. Methadone must be dispensed by a clinic or facility licensed to do so.
- D. This care must provide an individual plan of active medical treatment. Adequate arrangements should be made for treatment of withdrawal symptoms during the times when the treating physician is not available.
- E. Documentation of blood and/or urine drug screen is ordered upon commencement of treatment.
- F. Treatment includes an individualized treatment plan based on an evaluation of both mental health and substance abuse conditions and includes aftercare needs, including encouragement of member to participate in substance use disorder counseling and/or appropriate support resources, such as 12-step type formats and cognitive-based formats.
- G. Treatment interventions are guided by quantitative measures of withdrawal such as COWS.
- H. Patients taking methadone should be screened for potential cardiac issues.

#### **III. Criteria for Continued Stay**

Criteria A, B, C, D, E and F must be met to satisfy the criteria for continued stay.

- A. Admission criteria continue to be met.
- B. The patient's condition does not require a higher level of care.
- C. Documentation of signs, symptoms and improvement in steadfast opioid sobriety and abstinence with the ongoing assessment and treatment plan addressing, re-evaluating, and modified as medically appropriate to ensure continued sober success.
- D. Patient is adhering to treatment recommendations, or non-adherence is addressed with the patient and barriers are identified, interventions are modified, and/or treatment plan is revised as appropriate. Use of random blood and/or urine drug screen is a component of monitoring for adherence to treatment recommendations. Caution is taken if treatment

includes use of antipsychotics or sedatives.

- E. A discharge plan is formulated that is directly linked to the behaviors and/or symptoms that resulted in treatment. The discharge plan receives regular review and revision that includes ongoing plans for timely access to treatment resources that will meet the patient's post-maintenance needs. This plan includes attempts to link to outpatient primary care and ongoing behavioral health counseling (addressing appropriate mental health and substance disorder needs) after obtaining patient consent.
- F. Each patient accepted for treatment should be assessed initially and periodically by qualified personnel to determine the most appropriate combination of services and treatment. The initial assessment must include preparation of a treatment plan that includes the patient's short-term goals and the tasks the patient must perform to complete the short-term goals; the patient's requirements for education, vocational rehabilitation, and employment; and the medical, psycho- social, economic, legal, or other supportive services that a patient needs. The treatment plan also must identify the frequency with which these services are to be provided. The plan must be reviewed and updated to reflect that patient's personal history, his or her current needs for medical, social, and psychological services, and his or her current needs for education, vocational rehabilitation, and employment services.

#### **Bibliography**

Catherine Anne Fullerton, M.D., M.P.H., Meelee Kim, M.A., Cindy Parks Thomas, Ph.D., D. Russell Lyman, Ph.D., Leslie B. Montejano, M.A., C.C.R.P., Richard H. Dougherty, Ph.D., Allen S. Daniels, Ed.D., Sushmita Shoma Ghose, Ph.D., and Miriam E. Delphin-Rittmon, Ph.D. Medication-Assisted Treatment With Methadone: Assessing the Evidence. Published online: February 01, 2014.

HHS Publication No. (SMA) PEP15-FEDGUIDEOTP First Printed 2015

Kampman K, Jarvis M. American Society of Addiction Medicine (ASAM) National Practice Guideline for the Use of Medications in the Treatment of Addiction Involving Opioid Use. Addict Med. 2015 Sep-Oct; 9 (5):358-67.

Mee-Lee D, Shulman GD, Fishman MJ, Gastfriend DR, Miller MM, eds, The ASAM Criteria: Treatment Criteria for Addictive, Substance-Related, and Co-Occurring Conditions. 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition. Carson City, NV: The Change Companies®; 2013.

Srivastava A, Kahan M, Nader M. Primary care management of opioid use disorders: Abstinence, methadone, or buprenorphine-naloxone? Can Fam Physician. 2017 Mar; 63 (3):200-205.



Guideline: Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation Treatment

Effective Date: July 1, 2017

Last Review Date: April 15, 2017

#### **Criteria for Authorization**

The specified requirements for severity of need and intensity and quality of service must be met to satisfy the criteria for transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS).

#### I. Severity of Need

Criteria A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, and I must be met to satisfy the criteria for severity of need:

- A. The clinical evaluation indicates that the adult patient has a DSM-5 diagnosis of a major depressive disorder that, by accepted medical standards, can be expected to improve significantly through medically necessary and appropriate TMS treatment.
- B. TMS will be used only for adults over the age of 22 who are not pregnant.
- C. An evidence-based psychotherapy for depression was attempted of an adequate frequency and duration without significant improvement in depressive symptoms as documented by standardized rating scales that reliably measure depressive symptoms.
- D. One or more of the following:
  - The patient has demonstrated medication treatment resistance during the current depressive episode as evidenced by a lack of clinically significant response to four trials of psychopharmacologic agents in the current depressive episode from at least two different agent classes at or above the minimum effective dose. At least one of the treatment trials must have been administered at an adequate course of mono- or poly-drug therapy; or
  - 2) The patient has demonstrated an inability to tolerate psychopharmacologic agents as evidenced by four trials of psychopharmacologic agents from at least two different agent classes, with distinct side effects; *or*
  - 3) The patient has a history of good response to TMS during an earlier episode of the treatmentresistant major depressive disorder.
  - E. The patient is medically stable and the patient's status and/or comorbid medical conditions are not contraindications for TMS.

- F. All of the following:
  - 1) There is a clinical contraindication for electroconvulsive the rapy (ECT)  $^{_{\rm I}}$  or the patient refuses ECT; and
  - 2) The patient has access to a suitable environment and professional and/or social supports after recovery from the procedure; *and*
  - 3) The patient can be reasonably expected to comply with post-procedure recommendations.
- G. TMS is not considered reasonable and necessary for any of the following (all must be absent):
  - 1) Presence of psychotic symptoms in the current depressive episode.
  - 2) Acute or chronic psychotic disorder such as schizophrenia, schizophreniform disorder, or schizoaffective disorder.
  - 3) Neurologic conditions that include epilepsy, cerebrovascular disease, dementia, increased intracranial pressure, having a history of repetitive or severe head trauma, or with primary or secondary tumors in the central nervous system.
  - 4) Persons with conductive, ferromagnetic or other magnetic-sensitive materials implanted in their head which are non-removable and within 30cm of the TMS magnetic coil. Examples include cochlear implants, implanted electrodes/stimulators, aneurysm clips, coils or stents, and bullet fragments.
  - 5) Presence of vagus nerve stimulator leads in the carotid sheath.
- H. TMS will not be used for maintenance therapy. Maintenance therapy is not currently supported by evidence from clinical trials and therefore is considered not reasonable and necessary.
- I. The patient and/or a legal guardian is/are able to understand the purpose, risks and benefits of TMS, and provide(s) consent.

#### II. Intensity and Quality of Service

Criteria A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H and I must be met to satisfy the criteria for intensity and quality of service:

- A. There is documentation of a clinical evaluation performed by a physician who is appropriately trained to provide TMS, to include:
  - 1) A psychiatric history, including past response to antidepressant medication(s) and/or TMS and/or ECT, mental status and current functioning; *and*
  - 2) A medical history and examination when clinically indicated.
- B. The order for treatment or retreatment is written by a physician (MD or DO) who has examined the patient and reviewed the medical record. The treatment shall be given under direct supervision of this physician, i.e., he or she must be in the area and immediately available. The physician will assess the patient at each treatment, and be present in the area, but not necessarily

<sup>1</sup> Studies have demonstrated superior efficacy with ECT in major depression (Eranti, Mogg, Pluck, et al. 2007). ECT should not be delayed in cases where symptoms are life-threatening.

provide the treatment. The attending physician must monitor and document the patient's clinical progress during treatment. The attending physician must use evidence-based, validated depression monitoring scales such as the Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS), the Personal Health Questionnaire Depression Scale (PHQ-9), the Beck Depression Scale (BDI), the Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression (HAM-D), the Montgomery Asberg Depression Rating Scale (MADRS), the Quick Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology (QIDS), the Inventory for Depressive Symptomatology Systems Review (IDS-SR), or the Consumer Health Inventory (CHI) to monitor treatment response and the achievement of remission of symptoms.

- C. The physician utilizing this technique must have completed a psychiatric residency program accredited by the Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education (ACGME) or the American Osteopathic Association (AOA) or the Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons of Canada (RCPSC); Board certification in psychiatry by the American Board of Psychiatry and Neurology is preferred. The physician must be privileged by Magellan and/or payer to perform TMS. As a part of privileging, the physician must have completed a university-based course in TMS, or the course approved by the device manufacturer.
- D. An attendant/individual trained in basic life support, the management of complications such as seizures, in addition to training in the application of the TMS apparatus, must be present at all times with the patient while the treatment is applied.
- E. The attending physician provides personal supervision for the initial motor threshold determinations, treatment parameter definition and TMS treatment course planning and documentation supportive of the level of supervision. The patient has either the attending physician or the attendant physically present at all times during the TMS session.
- F. During subsequent delivery and management of TMS sessions, the attending physician must meet face to face with the patient when there is a change in the patient's mental status and/ or other significant change in clinical status.
- G. Access to emergency equipment, including cardiac defibrillator and suction, is readily available while the patient is receiving TMS.
- H. The treatment must be provided by use of a device approved or cleared by the FDA for the purpose of supplying transcranial magnetic stimulation for this indication.
- I. When clinically indicated, the patient is released in the care of a responsible adult who can monitor and provide supportive care as needed.

#### **Criteria for Continued Treatment**

#### **III. Continued Treatment**

All of the following (IIIA, B, C, D, E, F, and G) must be met to satisfy the criteria for continued treatment:

- A. Despite reasonable therapeutic efforts, clinical findings indicate at least one or more of the following:
  - 1. The persistence of problems that meet the TMS treatment Severity of Need criteria as outlined in I.; *or*

- 2. The emergence of additional problems that meet the TMS treatment Severity of Need criteria as outlined in I; *or*
- 3. Attempts to discharge to a less-intensive treatment will or can be reasonably expected, based on patient history and/or clinical findings, to result in an exacerbation of the patient's condition and/or status. Subjective opinions without objective clinical information or evidence are NOT sufficient to meet severity of need based on justifying the expectation that there would be a decompensation.
- B. TMS is reasonable and necessary for up to 30 visits over a 7-week period, followed by 6 tapered treatments.
- C. Retreatment may be considered for patients who met the guidelines for initial treatment and subsequently developed relapse of depressive symptoms if the patient responded to prior treatments as evidenced by a greater than 50 percent improvement in standard rating scale measurements for depressive symptoms or if there was a relapse after remission (e.g., GDS, PHQ-9, BDI, HAM-D, MADRS, QIDS, IDS-SR or CHI).
- D. If the patient meets the relapse criteria, up to 30 visits for the acute phase treatment followed by an additional 6 visits for tapering is considered reasonable and necessary.
- E. The treatment plan allows for the lowest frequency of treatments that supports sustained remission and/or prevents worsening of symptoms.
- F. The current or revised treatment plan can be reasonably expected to bring about significant improvement in the problems meeting criterion IIIA, and this is documented in progress notes, written and signed by the provider.
- G. All applicable elements in Admission-Intensity and Quality of Service Criteria are applied as related to assessment and treatment, if clinically relevant and appropriate.

#### **Bibliography**

- Avery DH, Holtzheimer PE, Fawaz W, Russo, Neumaier J, Dunner DL, Haynor DR, Claypoole KH, Wajdik C, Roy-Byrne P. A Controlled Study of Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation in Medication-Resistant Major Depression. *Biol Psychiatry* 2006; 59: 187-194.
- Avery DH, Isenberg KE, Sampson SM, Janicak PG, Lisanby SH, Maixner DF, Loo C, Thase MR, Demitrack MA, George MS. Transcranial magnetic Stimulation in the Acute Treatment of Major Depressive Disorder: Clinical Response in an Open-Label Extension Trial. *J Clin Psychiatry* 69:3 March 2008.
- 3. Burt, Lisanby SH, Sackeim HA, Neuropsychiatric applications of transcranial magnetic stimulation: a meta-analysis. *Int J Neuropsychopharmacol*, 2002, 5: 73-103.
- 4. Carpenter LL, Janicak PG, Aaronson ST, Boyadjis T, Brock DG, Cook IA, Dunner DL, Lanocha K, Solvason HB, Demitrack MA. Depression and Anxiety 29: 587-596 (2012).
- 5. Carpenter L, Neurostimulation in resistant depression. *Journal of Psychopharmacology*, 2006, 20 (3): 35-40.
- Cohen RB, Boggio PS, Fregni F. Risk Factors for Relapse after Remission with Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation for the Treatment of Depression. *Depression and Anxiety* 0: 1-7 (2009).
- Connolly KR, Helmer A, Cristancho MA, Cristancho P, O'Reardon JP. Effectiveness of Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation in Clinical Practice Post-FDA Approval in the United States: Results Observed With the First 100 Consecutive Cases of Depression at an Academic Medical Center. J Clin Psychiatry 73:4, April 2012.
- 8. Couturier JL, Efficacy of rapid-rate repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in the treatment of depression: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Psychiatry Neurosci*, 2005, 30: 83-90.
- Demirtas-Tatlided A, Mechanic-Hamilton D, Press DA, Pearlman C, Stern WM, Thall M, Pascual-Leone A. An Open-Label, Prospective Study of Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation (rTMS) in the Long-Term Treatment of Refractory Depression: Reproducibility and Duration of the Antidepressant Effect in Medication-Free Patients. J Clin Psychiatry 69:6, June 2008.
- 10. Demitrack Mark A., MD, Examining the Safety and Effectiveness of Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation for Depression, *Psychiatric Annals*, Volume 35, Number 2, February 2005.
- 11. Demitrack MA, Thase ME. Clinical significance of Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation (TMS) in the Treatment of Pharmacoresistent Depression: Synthesis of Recent Data. *Psychopharmacology Bulletin.* 2009; 42 (2): 5-38.
- 12. Demitrack MA. NeuroStar Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation (TMS) Therapy for Major Depressive Disorder (PowerPoint presentation), July 27, 2010.
- Eranti S, Mogg A, Pluck G, et al. A Randomized, Controlled Trial with 6-Month Follow-Up of Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation and Electroconvulsive Therapy for Severe Depression. Am J Psychiatry. 2007 Jan; 164 (1): 73-81.
- Eranti, S., Mogg, A., Pluck, G., Landau, S., Purvis, R., Brown, R.G... McLoughlin, D.M. (2007). A Randomized, Controlled Trial with 6-Month Follow-Up of Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation and Electroconvulsive Therapy for Severe Depression. *Am Journal Psychiatry*, 164(1), 73-81.
- 15. FDA Clears Neurostar TMS Therapy for the Treatment of Depression Press Release. Accessed website on November 11, 2008 <u>www.neuronetics.com</u>.

- 16. FDA Executive Summary. 501(k) pre-market notification submission, K061053, submitted by Neuronetics, Inc. to the Restorative Devices Branch of the Division of General, Restorative and Neurological Devices at the Center for Devices and Radiological Health of the Food and Drug Administration (FDA).
- 17. FDA Panel Recommends Against Depression-Treatment Device. *Psychiatric News* March 2, 2007, Volume 42, Number 5, page 2.
- Fitzgerald PB, Benitez J, de Castella A, et al. A randomized, controlled trial of sequential bilateral repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation for treatment-resistant depression. Am J Psychiatry. 2006 Jan; 163(1): 88-94.
- 19. Fitzgerald PB, Daskalakis ZJ. The Use of Repetitive Transcranial magnetic Stimulation and Vagal Nerve Stimulation in the Treatment of Depression. *Curr Opin Psychiatry* 2008; 21 (1): 25-29. Accessed website on October 13, 2008 <u>www.medscape.com</u>.
- 20. Fitzgerald Paul B, MBBS, MPM, Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation Effective for Medication-Resistant Major Depression. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2003; 60: 1002-1008. Accessed website <u>www.medscape.com</u> on March 29, 2005.
- 21. Fregni F, Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation Helpful for Depression in Parkinson's disease, *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 2004; 75: 1171-1174. Accessed website <u>www.medscape.com</u> on March 29, 2005.
- 22. Garcia KS, Flynn P, Pierce KJ, Caudle M. Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation treats postpartum depression. DOI: 10.1016/j.brs.2009.06.001.
- Gaynes N Bradley, MD, MPH, et al. Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation for Treatment-Resistant Depression: A Systematic Review and Meta Analysis. J Clin Psychiatry. 75:5, May 2014: 477-489.
- 24. George MS, Lisanby SH, Avery D, McDonald WM, Durkalski V, Pavlicova M, Anderson B, Nahas Z, Bulow P, Zarkowski P, Holtzheimer PE, Schwartz T, Sackeim HA.. Daily Left Prefrontal Transcranial magnetic Stimulation Therapy for Major Depressive Disorder. Arch Gen Psychiatry/Vol. 67 (No. 5), May 2010.
- 25. Gershon AA, Dannon PN, Grunhaus L. Transcranial magnetic stimulation in the treatment of depression. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2003 May; 160 (5): 835-45.
- 26. Grunhaus L, Dannon PN, et al. Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation is as effective as electroconvulsive therapy in the treatment of nondelusional major depressive disorder: an open study. *Biol Psychiatry*, 200 Feg 15; 47 (4): 314-24.
- 27. Grunhaus L, Schreiber S, et al. A randomized controlled comparison of electroconvulsive therapy and repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in severe and resistant nonpsychotic major depression. *Biol Psychiatry*, 2003 Feb 15; 53 (4): 324-31.
- 28. Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff Class II Special Controls Guidance Document: Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation (rTMS) Systems. July 26, 2011. Retrieved from <u>http://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/DeviceRegulationandGuidance/GuidanceDocuments/ucm26526</u> <u>9.htm</u>.
- 29. Hausmann A, Kemmler G, et al. No benefit derived from repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in depression: a prospective, single centre, randomized, double blind, sham controlled "add on" trial. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 2004 Feb; 75 (2): 320-2.
- 30. Holtzheimer PEIII, Russo J, Avery DH, A meta-analysis of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in the treatment of depression. *Psychopharmacol Bulletin*, 2001, 35: 149-169.

- 31. Holtzheimer PE, Russo J, et al. Shorter duration of depressive episode may predict response to repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation. *Depress Anxiety*. 2004; 19 (1): 24-30.
- 32. Janicak Philip G., MD, Dowd Shiela M., Ph.D. et al. The Potential Role of Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation in Treating Severe Depression, *Psychiatric Annals*, Volume 35, Number 2, February 2005.
- 33. Janicak PG, Dowd SM et al. Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation versus electroconvulsive therapy for major depression: preliminary results of a randomized trial. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2002 April 15; 51 (8); 659-67.
- 34. Janicak PG, Nahas Z, Lisanby SH, Solvason HB, Sampson SM, McDonald WM, Marangell LB, Rosenquist P, McCall WV, Kimball J, O'Reardon JP, Loo C, Husain MH, Krystak A, Gilmer W, Dowd SM, Demitrack MA, Schatzberg AF. Durability of clinical benefit with transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) in the treatment of pharmacoresistent major depression: assessment of relapse during a 6-month, multi-site, open-label study. *Brain Stimulation* 2010 doi: 10.1016/j.brs. 2010.07.003.
- 35. Jorge R, Moser DJ, Acton L, Robinson RG. Treatment of Vascular Depression Using Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*/vol. 65 (No. 3) Mar 2008.
- 36. Jorge RE, Robinson RG, et al. Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation as treatment of post stroke depression: a preliminary study. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2004 Feb 15; 55 (4): 398-405.
- 37. Karsen, E., Watts, B., & Holtzheimer, P. (2014). Review of the effectiveness of transcranial magnetic stimulation for post-traumatic stress disorder. *Brain Stimulation*, 7(2), 151-157.
- 38. Koerselman F, Laman DM, et al. A 3-month, follow-up, randomized, placebo-controlled study of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in depression. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2004 Oct; 65(10); 1323-8.
- 39. Kozel Frank Andrew, MD, MS, Nahas Ziad, MD et al., Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging and Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation for Major Depression, *Psychiatric Annals*, Volume 35, Number 2, February 2005.
- 40. Kozel FA, George MS, Meta-analysis of left prefrontal repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) to treat depression. *J Psychiatr Pract*, 2002, 8: 270-275.
- 41. Lisanby SH, Husain MM, Rosenquist PB, Maixner D Gutierrez R, Krystal A, Gilmer W, Marangell LB, Aaronson S, Daskalakis ZJ, Canterbury R, Richelson E, Sackeim HA Griorg MS. Daily Left Prefrontal Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation in the Acute Treatment of Major Depression: Clinical Predictors of Outcome in a Multisite, Randomized Controlled Clinical Trial. Neuropsychopharmacology (2008), 1-13.
- 42. Lisanby SH, Husain MM, Rosenquist PB, Maixner D Gutierrez R, Krystal A, Gilmer W, Marangell LB, Aaronson S, Daskalakis ZJ, Canterbury R, Richelson E, Sackeim HA George MS. Daily Left Prefrontal Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation in the Acute Treatment of Major Depression: Clinical Predictors of Outcome in a Multisite, Randomized Controlled Clinical Trial. Neuropsychopharmacology (2009), 34, 522-534.
- 43. Market Notification K083538 NeuroStar TMS System. Accessed website on November 11, 2008 <a href="http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh\_docs/pdf8/K083538.pdf">http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh\_docs/pdf8/K083538.pdf</a>.
- 44. Martin JL, Barbanoj MJ, Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation for the treatment of depression. Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2003 Jun; 182: 480-91.
- 45. McNamara B, Ray JL, Arthurs OJ, Boniface S, Transcranial magnetic stimulation for depression and other psychiatric disorders, *Psychol Med*, 2001, 31: 1141-1146.

- 46. Milne, David, Severe Depression Responds to Low-Frequency Stimulation. *Psychiatric News*, May 7, 2004.
- 47. Montgomery SA, Asberg M. A new depression scale designed to be sensitive to change. *Br J Psychiatry* 1979; 134: 382-389.
- 48. Mosimann UP, Schmitt W, et al. Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation: a putative add-on treatment for major depression in elderly patients. *Psychiatry Res.* 2004 April 30; 126(2): 123-33.
- 49. Nahas Z, Li X, et al. Safety and benefits of distance-adjusted prefrontal transcranial magnetic stimulation in depressed patients 55-75 years of age: a pilot study. *Depress Anxiety*. 2004; (4): 249-56.
- 50. O'Reardon JP, Blumner KH, Peshek AD, et al., Long-Term Maintenance Therapy for Major Depressive Disorder With rTMS. *J Clin Psychiatry* 66:12, December 2005.
- 51. O'Reardon JP, Solvason HB, Janicak PG, Sampson S, Isenberg KE, Nahas Z, McDonald WM, Avery D, Fitzgerald PB, Loo C, Demitrack MA, George MS, Sackeim HA. Efficacy and Safety of Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation in the Acute Treatment of Major Depression: A Multisite Randomized Controlled Trial. *Biol. Psychiatry* 2007, 62: 1208-1216.
- 52. Poulet E, Brunelin J, et al. Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation does not potentiate antidepressant treatment. *Eur Psychiatry*. 2004 Sep; 19 (6): 382-3.
- 53. Pridmore S, Bruno R, Comparison of unlimited numbers of rapid transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) and ECT treatment sessions in major depressive episode. *Int J Neuropsychopharmacol.* 2000 Jun; 3 (2): 129-134.
- 54. Rachid F, Bertschy G, Safety and efficacy of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in the treatment of depression: a critical appraisal of the last 10 years.
- 55. Rosenbaum Jerrold F, MD, Judy Amy E., New Brain Stimulation Therapies for Depression. Medscape coverage of the American Psychiatric Association 2004 Annual Meeting. Accessed website <u>www.medscape.com</u> on February 22, 2005.
- 56. Rossini D, Magri L, Lucca A, et al. Does rTMS Hasten the Response to Escitalopram, Sertraline, or Venlafaxine in Patients With Major Depressive Disorder? A Double-Blind, Randomized, Sham-Controlled Trial. J Clin Psychiatry 66:12, December 2005.
- 57. Rumi DO. Gattaz WF, et al. Transcranial magnetic stimulation accelerates the antidepressant effect of amitriptyline in severe depression: a double-blind placebo-controlled study. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2005 Jan 15; 57 (2): 162-6.
- 58. Schulze-Rauschenbach SC, Harms U, Schlaepfer TE, Maier W, Falkai P, Wagner M. Distinctive neurocognitive effects of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation and electroconvulsive therapy in major depression. *Br J Psychiatry*, 2005 May; 186: 410-6.
- 59. Schutter DJLG. Antidepressant efficacy of high-frequency transcranial magnetic stimulation over the left dorsolateral prefrontal cortex in double-blind sham-controlled designs: a meta-analysis. *Psychological Medicine* (2009), 39, 65-75.
- 60. Slotema CW, Blom JD, Hock HW, Sommer IEC. Should We Expand the Toolbox of Psychiatric Treatment Methods to Include Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation (rTMS)? A Meta-Analysis of the Efficacy of rTMS in Psychiatric Disorders. *J Clin Psychiatry*, March 9, 2010 online ahead of print, (doi: 10:4088/JCP.08m04872gre).
- Tenev V, Robinson RG, Jorge RE. Citalopram for continuation therapy following repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) in vascular depression. Am J Geriatr Psychiatry. 2008 August; 17 (8): 682-687.

- 62. TMS Therapy Overview. Accessed website on November 11, 2008 www.neuronetics.com.
- 63. Turner EH, Matthews AM, Linardatos E, Tell RA, Rosenthal R. Selective Publication of Antidepressant Trials and Its Influence on Apparent Efficacy. *N Engl J Med* 358:3, January 17, 2008.



## Guideline: Outpatient Applied Behavior Analysis

Effective Date: July 1, 2017

Last Review Date: April 15, 2017

#### Introduction

The following Medical Necessity Criteria (MNC) is provided as guideline for coverage decisions. Policies can be highly technical and complex and are provided here for informational purposes (see Appendix). The policies do not constitute medical or behavioral health advice or care. Treating healthcare providers are solely responsible for diagnosis, treatment and advice consistent with evidence-based care and clinical best practices. Members should discuss the information in the policies with their treating healthcare providers. Technology is constantly evolving and these policies are subject to change without notice. Additional policies may be developed from time to time and some may be withdrawn from use. The policies were developed after extensive review of the available literature on the provision of applied behavior analysis (ABA) for the treatment of autism. A multidisciplinary committee of healthcare professionals within and external to Magellan Health developed and approved the guidelines based on this review. The guidelines were developed in consultation with experts in the treatment of autism from major research and treatment centers like The Mind Institute at UC Davis, Baylor University and Duke University. The guidelines rely heavily on known best practices in the treatment of developmental disorders including the requirement for a complete assessment utilizing validated tools and standardized developmental norms; symptom focused interventions; caregiver participation and measureable goals (additional information is available in the Appendix).

#### **Description of the Technology**

Applied behavior analysis is a discipline that applies human behavior principles in various settings, i.e., clinics, schools, homes, and communities, to diminish substantial deficits in a recipient's adaptive functioning or significant behavior problems due to autism spectrum disorder. This technique applies interventions to address three core areas of behavioral functioning:

- 1. Deficits in developmentally appropriate self-care include, but are not limited to:
  - a. Feeding
  - b. Grooming
  - c. Activities of daily living (e.g., dressing, preparing for school)
  - d. Preoccupation with one or more restricted, stereotyped patterns of behavior that are abnormal in intensity or focus
  - e. Inflexible adherence to specific, nonfunctional routines or rituals
  - f. Stereotyped, repetitive motor mannerisms
  - g. Persistent preoccupation with parts of objects.
- 2. Impairments in social adaptive skills include, but are not limited to:
  - a. Delay in or lack of spoken language
  - b. Inability to sustain adequate conversation with others
  - c. Impairment in non-verbal behaviors in social interaction
  - d. Failure to develop peer relationships
  - e. Lack of spontaneous seeking to share emotions in relationships
  - f. Lack of social or emotional reciprocity.

© 2007-2017 Magellan Health, Inc.

- 3. Prevention of harm to self or others (safety concerns) include, but are not limited to:
  - a. Aggression directed to self or others (e.g., hitting, biting)
  - b. Engaging in dangerous behaviors (e.g., eating nonfood items, running into the street, elopement).

The first demonstrations of the effectiveness of this treatment model occurred in the 1960s with the employment of highly structured operant conditioning learning programs to improve the condition of recipients with autism and mental retardation. Many techniques, strategies, and approaches have been developed using ABA as a foundation. ABA treatments derive from the experimental analysis of behavior – a field dedicated to understanding how environmental events affect behavior.

ABA systematically applies interventions based on learning theory to improve social interaction, verbal and nonverbal communication, and maladaptive or challenging behavior while demonstrating that the interventions employed are responsible for the improvement. Deficits in functioning may be due to environmental factors, physical conditions, mental health disorders, and psychological factors. The severity and frequency of maladaptive behavior, e.g., aggression, violence, destructiveness, and self-injury, may result in risk to the physical safety of the individual or others. ABA involves the analysis, design, implementation, and evaluation of behavior modification plans to produce significant improvement in behavior. ABA programs include multiple techniques (e.g., discrete trial training and naturalistic teaching) and integrate different strategies based on the recipient's needs and target goals. The ABA literature universally cites the need for caregiver training and caregiver assumption of treatment interventions. ABA methodologies incorporate data collection to monitor the recipient's progress and evaluate the effectiveness of the intervention.

General ABA behavior goals in autism include: (1) increasing selected behaviors, (2) teaching new skills, (3) maintaining selected behaviors, (4) generalizing or transferring selected behaviors, (5) restricting or narrowing conditions under which interfering behaviors occur, (6) reducing interfering behaviors, and (7) parental skill development and the application of those skills in natural settings. Socially significant behaviors frequently targeted include addressing underlying issues that impair academic functioning, social skills, communication and adaptive living skills, e.g., gross and fine motor skills, eating and food preparation, toileting, dressing, personal self-care, domestic skills, time and punctuality, money and value, home and community orientation and work skills.

Functional behavior analysis (FBA) or functional assessment is a rigorous method of gathering information about problem behaviors. The underlying theory of FBA is that most problem behaviors serve some type of an adaptive function reinforced by consequences. FBA is used in both designing a behavior program for maximum effectiveness and serves as the foundation of the individualized treatment plan.

The decision about the need for comprehensive versus focused interventions is generally determined, in part, by an evaluation of the level of impairment as demonstrated on validated developmental assessment tools. The severity of impairment is often based on how far the person's scores are from the mean (average). A customary statistic for describing how far someone is from the mean is the standard deviation score (SD). SD scores of less than 1 are considered within the range of normal development. A SD score of 1 but less than 1.5 is considered mild impairment, 1.5 but less than 2 is considered moderate impairment, and 2 or more is considered severe. Another significant factor in this decision is the number of developmental areas affected. For example, if only one or two areas are affected, a focused intervention may be the most appropriate.

#### Definitions

*Comprehensive Intervention:* Services may range from 21 to 40 hours per week, early in the recipient's development (for example, under the age of 7). Services are provided for multiple targets across most or all developmental domains. Comprehensive interventions may close the gap between a recipient's level of functioning and that of a typically developing peer. The standard of care for comprehensive services has been for durations of 1 to 2 years.

*Focused Intervention:* Services are provided up to 20 hours per week and are directed to a more limited set of problematic behaviors or skills deficits in areas such as self-care, communication and personal safety. Focused services introduce and strengthen more adaptive behaviors in order to address specific behaviors that are problematic for the recipient.

*Functional behavior analysis (FBA):* A functional assessment that is a rigorous method of gathering information about adaptive functioning and dysfunctional behaviors. The underlying theory of FBA is that most problem behaviors serve some type of an adaptive function reinforced by consequences. FBA is used in both designing a behavior program for maximum effectiveness and guides development of an individualized treatment plan.

#### **Criteria to Initiate Care**

All of following criteria must be met:

- 1. There is an established and current (within 24 months) DSM-5 diagnosis of autism spectrum disorder using validated assessment tools, e.g., Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule (ADOS), Autism Diagnostic Interview (ADI-R), Parent Evaluation Developmental Stages (PEDS), Checklist for Autism in Toddlers (M-CHAT), Ages and Stages Questionnaire (ASQ), or Brigance; and
- 2. Developmental assessment has been completed within the last 12 months using validated assessment tools (e.g., Vineland, ABAS).
- 3. As determined by validated developmental assessment tools, the eligible recipient cannot participate at an age-appropriate level in home, school or community activities because of the presence of behavioral excess and/or the absence of functional skills that interfere with participation in these activities<sup>1</sup>, and the target behaviors or skill deficits identified for ABA intervention meet one or more of the following:
  - a. The target behavior or skill is 1 standard deviation or more below the mean, or
  - b. Represents a behavior that poses significant threat of harm to the recipient or others.
- 4. There is an expectation on the part of a qualified treating healthcare professional, who has completed an initial evaluation of the recipient that the individual's behavior and skills will improve to a clinically meaningful extent, in at least two settings (home, school, community) with ABA therapy provided by, or supervised by, a certified ABA provider.
- 5. A functional assessment using validated tools has been completed by a qualified behavior analyst certified by the Behavior Analyst Certification Board (BACB). This assessment will include baseline information on the recipient's adaptive functioning within the last 12 months.
- 6. The recipient's caregivers commit to participate in the goals of the treatment plan.
- 7. The recipient is medically stable and does not require 24-hour medical/nursing monitoring or procedures provided in a hospital level of care.
- 8. There is a treatment plan with the following elements:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Additional information on age-appropriate skills can be found in the Appendix. © 2007-2017 Magellan Health, Inc.

- a. There are specific, quantifiable goals that relate to developmental deficits or behaviors that pose a significant risk of harm to the recipient or others.
- b. Objective, observable, and quantifiable metrics are utilized to measure change toward the specific goal behaviors.
- c. Documentation that adjunctive treatments (e.g., psychotherapy, social skills training, medication services, educational services) have been considered for inclusion in the treatment plan, with the rationale for exclusion.

#### **Criteria for Continued Care**

All of the following criteria must be met:

- 1. The recipient shows improvement from baseline in skill deficits and problematic behaviors targeted in the approved treatment plan using validated assessments of adaptive functioning.
- 2. As determined by validated developmental assessment tools, the eligible recipient still cannot participate at an age-appropriate level in home, school or community activities because of the presence of behavioral excess and/or the absence of functional skills that interfere with participation in these activities, and the target behaviors or skill deficits identified for ABA intervention meet one or more of the following:
  - a. The target behavior or skill is 1 standard deviation or more below the mean, or
  - b. Represents a behavior that poses significant threat of harm to the recipient or others.
- 3. The recipient's caregivers demonstrate continued commitment to participation in the recipient's treatment plan and demonstrate the ability to apply those skills in naturalized settings as documented in the clinical record.
- 4. The gains made toward developmental norms and behavior goals cannot be maintained if care is reduced.
- 5. Behavior issues are not exacerbated by the treatment process.
- 6. The recipient has the required cognitive capacity to benefit from the care provided and to retain and generalize treatment gains.

#### **Criteria for Discharge from Care**

One of the following criteria must be met:

- 1. The recipient shows improvement from baseline in targeted skill deficits and problematic behaviors such that goals are achieved or maximum benefit has been reached.
- 2. Caregivers have refused treatment recommendations.
- 3. Behavioral issues are exacerbated by the treatment.
- 4. Recipient is unlikely to continue to benefit or maintain gains from continued care.

# Appendix

This document is provided as companion to Magellan Healthcare's Medical Necessity Criteria (MNC) for the use of applied behavior analysis (ABA). Magellan supports the use of clinical best practices and

strongly encourages participating providers to consult resources such as those published by the Behavior Analyst Certification Board (BACB).

ABA systematically applies interventions based on learning theory to improve social interaction, verbal and nonverbal communication, and maladaptive or challenging behavior while demonstrating that the interventions employed are responsible for the improvement. Deficits in functioning may be due to environmental factors, physical conditions, mental health disorders, and psychological factors. The severity and frequency of maladaptive behavior (e.g., aggression, violence, destructiveness, and selfinjury) may result in risk to the physical safety of the individual or others. ABA involves the analysis, design, implementation, and evaluation of behavior modification plans to produce significant improvement in behavior. ABA programs include multiple techniques (e.g., discrete trial training and naturalistic teaching) and integrate different strategies based on the recipient's needs and target goals. ABA methodologies incorporate data collection to monitor the recipient's progress and evaluate the effectiveness of the intervention and evaluate behavior with validated tools and objective developmental norms. An ABA program is directed to promoting the greatest level of independence possible for the recipient and provides training and support for the caregivers. An ABA program that does not include the substantial involvement of the recipient's caregivers does not meet Magellan's expectations of a successful treatment plan based on an extensive review of the available literature on the effectiveness of ABA, and as such, cannot be authorized for reimbursement.

### **Essential Elements of Effective ABA Treatment**

- 1. An objective assessment and analysis of the client's condition by observing how the environment affects the client's behavior, as evidenced through appropriate data collection and the use of validated assessment tools and developmental norms.
- 2. An understanding of the context of the behavior and the behavior's value to the individual, the family, and the community and a plan to address the most socially significant deficits in skill or problem behaviors that will allow the independent functioning for the recipient across these environments.
- 3. A thorough review of the recipient's medical, educational, and psychological and behavioral history and ongoing coordination of care with other providers involved in the recipient's treatment (e.g., physical therapists, social workers, occupational therapists, pediatricians, speech therapists).
- 4. The use of ongoing, objective assessments and data analysis to inform clinical decision making.
- 5. A focus on the recipient's quality of life, with care provided only for as long as necessary to achieve goals, or maximize clinical benefit, and promote independence for the recipient.
- 6. The facilitation of opportunities for the recipient to interact with typically-developing peers.
- 7. The inclusion of the recipients" caregivers in a formalized program of training that allows them to develop skills and apply these in naturalized settings to further the recipient's treatment goals.
- 8. A strong program of support for the caregivers that addresses the stresses and strains of caregiving including community connection to supportive resources.

#### **Initial Evaluation**

After an initial diagnosis of autism has been obtained from an appropriate provider (e.g., pediatrician, pediatric neurologist, developmental pediatrician, psychologist), a functional behavioral assessment should be completed that includes observation across all relevant settings (e.g., home, school and community). The intent of the FBA is to develop a thorough plan of interventions that will target reductions in problematic behaviors, in addition to the promotion of more adaptive skills and behaviors. The FBA captures baseline data and will design a plan of ongoing data collection that will inform the

© 2007-2017 Magellan Health, Inc.

duration and intensity of services. The FBA will include a plan for the training of the recipient's caregivers, complete with goals for the caregivers and a plan to train and support the caregivers. The FBA should include:

- 1. Validated developmental and adaptive skills assessment (e.g., ABAS, Vineland, DAYC, Bayley, Mullens, VB-MAPP or ABLLS) to establish baseline functioning.
- 2. Review of the recipient's medical, psychiatric, educational records.
- 3. An evaluation of the purpose of maladaptive behaviors using a validated assessment tool (e.g., QABF, FAST, FACT).
- 4. Caregiver interview.
- 5. Evidence of coordination of services with the recipient's other treatment providers.
- 6. Consideration for the recipient's medications and medical comorbidities.
- 7. A detailed description of behavior reduction goals with clear definition, antecedent, baseline, and mastery criteria for needed skills development.
- 8. A detailed description of replacement behavior and skill acquisition goal selection based on reported behaviors and developmental evaluation scores.
- 9. Caregiver training goals and a plan to provide necessary support and training to caregivers as well as a plan to evaluate their acquisition of these skills.
- 10. A detailed proposal for the intensity and duration of services, as well as the locations where those services will be provided.
- 11. Full documentation of any IEP services the recipient is receiving and a description of how the proposed care will coordinate with the established IEP.
- 12. An indication of other services that will be necessary such as physical therapy or family therapy, and documentation that such referrals have been provided.
- 13. A clear plan with objective milestones for the systematic reduction of care and the criteria for discharge from services.

# **Ongoing Services**

- 1. Validated developmental and adaptive skills assessment (e.g., ABAS, Vineland, DAYC, Bayley, Mullens, VB-MAPP or ABLLS) should be administered every 3 months to evaluate progress from baseline functioning.
- 2. Care should be applied as prescribed in the treatment plan, and behavior tracking should be completed such that the occurrence and frequency of maladaptive behaviors as well as replacement behaviors are captured graphically.
- 3. Antecedents to behavior should be noted as well as response to interventions.
- 4. The setting of ongoing services should be documented as well as participants present during the intervention.
- 5. Interventions should promote the recipient's independence and should be focused on those behaviors that interfere with the recipient's self-care abilities, the recipient's safety and those behaviors that interfere with the recipient's communication and interaction with others.
- 6. Caregivers should be present during all interventions and should receive training on the intervention such that the treating professional can fade out of the intervention and the caregiver can effectively achieve the goal of the intervention over time.
- 7. Caregivers should have specific behavior goals that generalize treatment benefits across multiple settings and allow treatment progress to be maintained over time.

© 2007-2017 Magellan Health, Inc.

- 8. The recipient should be presented with opportunities to demonstrate skills acquisition with developmentally-typical peers.
- 9. Adjustments to treatment interventions will be made in consultation with the BACB supervisor, and the reason for these adjustments will be well documented in the clinical record, including the goals and the behavior tracking of these goals.
- 10. A detailed tracking of the intensity of services as well as the locations where those services are provided shall be maintained in the treatment record.
- 11. Coordination with other services such as physical therapy or family therapy should be ongoing.
- 12. Measurement of progression on milestones should be captured on an ongoing basis and progress to discharge goals should be noted.

## **Intensity of Services**

The intensity and duration of services will be based on a careful evaluation of the level of the recipient's impairment from developmentally expected norms as well as the severity of maladaptive behaviors. Behaviors and skills deficits that prevent the recipient from performing activities of daily living related to self-care (e.g., self-feeding, toileting and grooming), socially effective communication (e.g., mutuality, emotional reciprocity, stereotypy, shared interests) or safety (e.g., aggression, pica, elopement) must be noted. The use of standardized testing is critical in the evaluation of the recipient's development against published developmental norms. Scores less than a standard deviation from developmental norms are considered within range of normal development: 1 standard deviation equates to mild impairment, 1.5-2 standard deviations equates to moderate impairment, and 2 or more standard deviations will be considered severe. The response to services must be evaluated on an ongoing basis with validated tools to monitor treatment progress. Treatment progress should also be evaluated against treatment goals through careful tracking of the frequency of maladaptive behaviors as well as replacement behaviors. The achievement of caregiver goals should be consistently tracked. Lack of skills acquisition or behavioral goals require immediate attention to required changes in the intervention and may lead to the discontinuation of services.

## **Comprehensive Interventions:**

- Comprehensive services generally are to be restricted to younger children who have substantial impairments in most or all areas of functioning; behavior is of such a severe nature that the child or those around the child are in imminent risk of harm; and are generally authorized as time-limited.
- The overarching goal of comprehensive intervention is to close the gap between a recipient's level of functioning and that of a typically-developing peer.
- Comprehensive ABA of up to 40 hours per week is limited to treatment where there are multiple targets across most or all developmental domains that are impaired due to the child's autism.
- Comprehensive services are generally rendered when the recipient is early in his or her development and are generally not intended to be applied to older children or adolescents who are often more appropriate for focused interventions.
- Optimal treatment duration will vary by child, but literature generally supports total interventions (focused and comprehensive) up to of 1-2 years of care.

## **Focused Interventions:**

• Magellan will authorize medically necessary applied behavior analysis, based on individualized goals, provided in a focused or comprehensive manner:

- Focused interventions are generally authorized for 10-25 hours per week of direct treatment.
   (Additional authorization will be provided for direct and indirect supervision at 1 to 2 hours per 10 of direct care, as well as authorization for caregiver training.)
- Focused intervention is authorized when the recipient needs to acquire skills such as communication, safety and self-care.
- Focused intervention is authorized to reduce dangerous or maladaptive behavior.
- $\circ$   $\,$  Focused intervention is authorized to introduce and strengthen more appropriate and functional behavior.
- Magellan encourages providers to consult with a Magellan care manager if there are questions about the appropriateness of a planned intervention, and at any time a child's condition worsens for any reason.

- 1. American Psychiatric Association 168<sup>th</sup> Annual Meeting May 2015. Autism spectrum disorders: diagnostic considerations, genetic research, and treatment review.
- 2. Behavior Analyst Certification Board. Applied Behavior Analysis Treatment of Autism Spectrum Disorder: Practice Guidelines for Healthcare Funders and Managers. 2014. Accessed online on May 25, 2015 at <u>http://bacb.com/Downloadfiles/ABA\_Guidelines\_for\_ASD.pdf</u>.
- 3. Boyd BA, Hume K, McBee MT, Alessandri M, Gutierrez A, Johnson L, Sperry L, Odom SL. Comparative efficacy of LEAP, TEACCH and non-model-specific special education programs for preschoolers with autism spectrum disorders. *J Autism Dev Disord* 2014; 44(2): 366-80.
- 4. Cohen, H., Amerine-Dickens, M., & Smith, T. Early intensive Behavior treatment: Replication of the UCLA model in a community setting. *Developmental and Behavior Pediatrics, 2006; 27:* S145-S155.
- Dawson, G, Jones EJ, Merkle K, Venema K, Lowy R, Faja S, Kamara D, Murias M, Grenson J, Winter J, Smith M, Rogers SJ, Webb SJ. Early Behavior intervention is associated with normalized brain activity in young recipient with autism *J Am Acad Recipient Adolesc Psychiatry* 2012; 51(11): 1150-9.
- 6. Eikeseth, S. Outcome of comprehensive psycho-educational interventions for young recipient with autism. *Research in Developmental Disabilities 2009; 30:* 158-178.
- Eikeseth, S., Smith, T., Jahr, E., & Eldevik, S. Intensive Behavior treatment at school for 4- to 7year-old recipient with autism: A 1-year comparison controlled study. *Behavior Modification 2992*; 26, 46-68.
- Eldevik, S., Hastings, R. P., Hughes, J. C., Jahr, E., Eikeseth, S., & Cross, S. Using participant data to extend the evidence base for intensive Behavior intervention for recipient with autism. *American Journal on Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities 2010; 115,* 381-405.
- 9. Eldevik, S., Hastings, R. P., Hughes, J. C., Jahr, E., Eikeseth, S., & Cross, S. Analysis of early intensive Behavior intervention for recipient with autism. *Journal of Clinical Recipient and Adolescent Psychology 2009; 38,* 439-450.
- 10. Estes A, Munson J, Rogers SJ, Greenson J, Winter J, Dawson G. Long-term outcomes of early intervention in 6-year-old recipient with autism spectrum disorder. *J Amer Acad Recipient Adolesc Psychiatry* 2015.
- 11. Fein D, Barton M, Eigsti IM, Kelley E, Naigles L, Schultz RT, Stevens M, Helt M, Orinstein A, Rosenthal M, Troyb DE, Tyson K. Optimal outcome in individuals with a history of autism. J Recipient Psychol Psychiatry 2013; 54(2): 195-205.
- 12. Foxx, R. M. Applied behavior analysis treatment of autism: The state of the art. *Recipient and* Adolescent Psychiatric Clinics of North America 2008; 17, 821-834.
- 13. Goods KS, Ishijima E, Chang Y, Kasari C. Preschool based JASPER intervention in minimally verbal recipient with autism: pilot RCT. *J Autism Dev Disord* 2013; 43(5): 1050-1056.

© 2007-2017 Magellan Health, Inc.

- 14. Granpeesheh D, Tarbox J, Dixon DR. Applied behavior analytic interventions for recipient with autism: a description and review of treatment research. *Ann Clin Psychiatry* 2009; 21(3):162-73.
- 15. Green, G., Brennan, L. C., & Fein, D. Intensive Behavior treatment for a toddler at high risk for autism. *Behavior Modification 2002; 26,* 69-102.
- 16. Hanley, G. P., Iwata, B. A., & McCord, B. E. Functional analysis of problem behavior: A review. J Appl Behav Anal 2003; 36, 147-185.
- 17. Hanley GP, Jin CS, Vanselow NR, Hanratty LA. Producing meaningful improvement in problem behavior of recipient with autism via synthesized analyses and treatments. *J Appl Behav Anal* 2014; 47:16-36.
- 18. Heitzman-Powell LS, Buzhardt J, Rusinko LC, Miller TM. Formative evaluation of an ABA outreach training program for parents of recipient with autism in remote areas. *Focus on Autism and Other Developmental Disabilities* 2014; 29(1): 23-38.
- 19. Howard, J. S., Sparkman, C. R., Cohen, H. G., Green, G., & Stanislaw, H. A comparison of intensive behavior analytic and eclectic treatments for young recipient with autism. *Research in Developmental Disabilities 2005; 26,* 359-383.
- 20. Howard JS, Stanislaw H, Green G, Sparkman CR, Cohen HG. Comparison of behavior analytic and eclectic early interventions for young recipient with autism after three years. *Res Dev Disabil* 2014; 35(12):3326-44.
- 21. Kamio Y, Haraguchi H, Miyake A, Hiraiwa M. Brief report: large individual variation in outcomes of autistic recipient receiving low intensity Behavior interventions in community settings. *Recipient Adolesc Psychiatry Ment Health* 2015.
- 22. Koegel LK, Koegel RL, Ashbaugh K, Bradshaw J. The importance of early identification and intervention for recipient with or at risk for autism spectrum disorders. *Int J Speech Lang Pathol* 2014; 6(1): 50-56.
- 23. Landa RJ, Kalb LG. Long-term outcomes of toddlers with autism spectrum disorders exposed to short-term intervention. *Pediatrics* 2012; 130 Suppl 2: 186-90.
- 24. LeBlanc LA, Gillis G. Behavior interventions for recipient with autism spectrum disorders. *Pediatr Clin North Am* 2012; 59(1): 147-64.
- 25. Lovaas, O. I. (1987). Behavior treatment and normal educational and intellectual functioning in young autistic recipient. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 55,* 3-9.
- 26. Maglione MA, Gans D, Das L, Timbie J, Kasari C. Nonmedical interventions for recipient with ASD: recommended guidelines and further research needs. *Pediatrics* 2012; 130(2): S169-78.
- 27. Malik S. Role of applied behavior analysis in behavior modification of autistic recipient. *Int J Med Appl Health* 2013; 1(2):52-59.

- 28. Matson, J. L., Benavidez, D. A., Compton, L. S., Paclawskyj, T., & Baglio, C. (1996). Behavior treatment of autistic persons: A review of research from 1980 to the present. *Research in Developmental Disabilities, 17,* 433-465.
- 29. MacDonald R, Parry-Cruwys D, Dupere S, Ahearn W. Assessing progress and outcome of early intensive Behavior intervention for toddlers with autism *Res Dev Disabil* 2014 35(12): 3632-44.
- 30. McEachin, J. J., Smith, T., & Lovaas, O. I. (1993). Long-term outcome for recipient with autism who received early intensive Behavior treatment. *American Journal on Mental Retardation, 97*, 359-372.
- 31. Mohammadzaheri F, Koegel L, Rezaee M, Rafiee S. A randomized clinical trial comparison between pivotal response treatment (PRT) and structured applied behavior analysis (ABA intervention for recipient with autism. *J Autism Dev Disord* 2014; 44(11): 2769-77.
- 32. National Standards Project. National Autism Center (2015). *Findings and conclusions: National standards project, phase 2.* Randolph, MA.
- 33. Odom SL, Boyd BA, Hall LJ, Hume K. Evaluation of comprehensive treatment models for individuals with autism spectrum disorders. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders.* Epub 2009 Jul 25.
- 34. Orinstein AJ, Helt M, Troyb E, Tyson KE, Barton ML, Eigsti I, Naigles L, Fein DA. Intervention for optimal outcome in recipient and adolescents with a history of autism. *J Dev Behav Pediatr* 2014; 35(4): 247-56.
- 35. Reichow B, Barton EE, Boyd BA, Hume K. Early intensive behavior intervention (EIBI) for young recipient with autism spectrum disorders (ASD). *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2012.
- 36. Rogers S, Estes A, Lord C, Vismara L, Winter J, Fitzpatrick A, Guo M, Dawson G. Effects of a brief early start denver model (ESDM)-based parent intervention on toddlers at risk for autism spectrum disorders: a randomized controlled trial. J Am Acad Recipient Adolesc Psychiatry 2012; 51(10): 1052-65.
- 37. Sallows, G. O., & Graupner, T. D. (2005). Intensive Behavior treatment for recipient with autism: Four-year outcome and predictors. *American Journal on Mental Retardation, 110,* 417-438.
- 38. Smith T, Groen AD, Wynn JW (2000). Randomized Trial of intensive early intervention for recipient with pervasive developmental disorder. *American Journal on Mental Retardation* 2000;105:269-285.
- 39. Tarbox RSF, Najdowski AC. Discrete trial training as a teaching paradigm. In: Luiselli JK, Russo DC, Christian WP, Wilczynski SM, editors. *Effective Practices for Recipients with Autism*. New York: Oxford University Press; 2008. p. 181-194.
- 40. TEACCH [Internet]. Chapel Hill, NC: UNC Chapel Hill Division TEACCH [cited 2009 Sept. 16]. Available from: http://www.teacch.com/whatis.html/.
- 41. Virués-Ortega, J. (2010). Applied behavior analytic intervention for autism in early recipienthood: Meta-analysis, meta regression and dose–response meta-analysis of multiple outcomes. *Clinical Psychology Review, 30,* 387-399.

- 42. Volkmar F, Siegel M, Woodbury-Smith M, King B, McCracken J, State M. Practice Parameter for the assessment and treatment of recipient and adolescents with autism spectrum disorder. J Am Acad Recipient Adolesc Psychiatry 2014; 53(2): 237-57.
- 43. Weitlauf AS, McPheeters ML, Sathe N, Travis R, Aiello R, Williamson E, Veenstra-VanderWeele J, Krishnaswami S, Warren Z. Therapies for Recipients with Autism Spectrum Disorder: Behavior interventions update. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality 2014.
- 44. Wong, C., Odom, S. L., Hume, K., Cox, A. W., Fettig, A., Kucharczyk, S. et al. (2013). Evidencebased practices for recipient, youth, and young adults with autism spectrum disorder. Chapel Hill, NC: The University of North Carolina, Frank Porter Graham Recipient Development Institute, Autism Evidence-Based Practice Review Group.



## Guideline: Residential Sex Offender, Child and Adolescent

Effective Date: July 1, 2017

Last Review Date: April 15, 2017

#### **Criteria for Admission**

The specified requirements for severity of need and intensity and quality of service must be met to satisfy the criteria for admission.

#### I. Admission - Severity of Need

Criteria A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, and I must be met to satisfy the criteria for severity of need.

- A. The patient must have either engaged in sexually assaultive or abusive behavior which includes contact offenses against other children, peers, or adults, or have engaged in multiple non-contact offenses (e.g., voyeurism, exhibitionism, possession of child pornographic material, or other sexually maladaptive behaviors).
- B. Based on a comprehensive sexual offense specific evaluation, the patient is deemed at risk to reoffend and demonstrates severe and persistent symptoms and functional impairment consistent with a DSM-5 diagnosis that is directly connected to the offending behavior and requires 24-hour residential sexual offender treatment, under the direction of a physician. There is clinical evidence that the DSM-5 disorder is amenable to active sexual offender treatment.
- C. There is clinical evidence that the patient would be at risk to self or others if he or she were not in a residential treatment program.
- D. There is evidence that the patient's condition requires supervision seven days per week/24 hours per day to develop skills necessary for daily living, to assist with planning and arranging access to a range of educational, therapeutic and aftercare services, to treat the sexually assaultive or abusive behavior, and to develop the adaptive and functional behavior that will allow him or her to live outside of a residential setting.
- E. The patient has the cognitive ability to both understand and respond to the treatment provided.
- F. Treatment services can reasonably be expected to improve the patient's condition.
- G. The patient's current living environment does not provide the support and supervision required for community safety and/or access to therapeutic services needed.
- H. Less restrictive community-based services have been given a fully adequate trial, and were unsuccessful or, if not attempted, have been considered, but in either situation were determined to be to be unable to meet the individual's treatment needs.
- I. The patient is medically stable and does not require the 24 hour medical/nursing monitoring or procedures provided in a hospital level of care.

#### II. Admission - Intensity and Quality of Service

Criteria A, B, C, and D must be met to satisfy the criteria for intensity and quality of service.

- A. The evaluation and assignment of a DSM-5 mental illness diagnosis must result from a face-toface psychiatric evaluation of the patient by an attending physician prior to or within 24 hours following the admission. As part of the mental status testing, assessment of cognitive functioning is required, with standardized screening tools for cognitive assessment. Evaluation requirements must comply with existing state regulations.
- B. The program provides supervision seven days per week/24 hours per day to assist with the development of skills necessary for daily living, to assist with planning and arranging access to a range of educational, therapeutic and aftercare services, and to assist with the development of the adaptive and functional behavior that will allow the patient to live outside of a residential setting. The program should demonstrate the capacity to treat sexually abusive or assaultive behavior and utilize methods of treatment that are rooted in best practices.
- C. An individualized plan of active sexual offense specific treatment, mental health treatment, and residential living support is provided in a timely manner and developed in response to evaluation and ongoing assessments. This treatment must be medically monitored, with 24-hour medical availability and 24-hour onsite nursing services. This plan includes all of the following:
  - 1) At least once-a-week psychiatric reassessments, if indicated;
  - 2) Measurable treatment goals that address strengths, sex-offense-specific risk management, and areas of need;
  - 3) Intensive family and/or support system involvement occurring on a weekly basis, or identifies valid reasons why such a plan is not clinically appropriate or feasible;
  - 4) Psychotropic medications, when used, are to be used with specific target symptoms identified;
  - 5) Evaluation for current medical problems;
  - 6) Evaluation for concomitant substance use issues;
  - 7) Linkage and/or coordination with the patient's community resources with the goal of returning the patient to his/her regular social environment as soon as possible, unless contraindicated. School contact should address Individualized Educational Plan(s) as appropriate; and
  - 8) Long-term aftercare and support plan that supports recovery goals as well as addresses recidivism risks.
- D. A Urine Drug Screen (UDS) is considered at the time of admission, when progress is not occurring, when substance misuse is suspected, or when substance use and medications may have a potential adverse interaction. After a positive screen, additional random screens are considered and referral to a substance use disorder provider is considered.

#### **Criteria for Continued Stay**

#### III. Continued Stay

Criteria A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, and I must be met to satisfy the criteria for continued stay.

- A. Despite reasonable therapeutic efforts, clinical evidence indicates at least one of the following:
  - 1) The patient's level of risk and needs that caused the admission to a degree that continues to meet the admission criteria (both severity of need and intensity of service needs), *or*
  - 2) The emergence of additional clinical issues that meet the admission criteria (both severity of need and intensity of service needs), *or*
  - 3) Disposition planning and/or attempts at therapeutic re-entry into the community have resulted in, or would result in, exacerbation of the psychiatric illness or sexually abusive or assaultive behavior to the degree that would necessitate continued residential treatment. Subjective opinions without objective clinical information or evidence are NOT sufficient to meet severity of need based on justifying the expectation that there would be a decompensation.
- B. The services continue to be reasonably expected to improve the patient's further decrease dynamic risk factors, or prevent further regression so that residential services will no longer be needed.
- C. The active treatment plan includes intensive family interventions and/or support system involvement occurring at least once per week, unless there is an identified, valid reason why it is not clinically appropriate or feasible.
- D. The patient's level of risk and needs cannot be appropriately and safely delivered at a less restrictive level of care.
- E. An updated multidisciplinary treatment plan and assessment of risks factors is completed and documented at least every 30 days or more often whenever clinically indicated.
- F. There is evidence of objective, measurable, and time-limited therapeutic clinical goals that must be met before the patient can return to a new or previous living situation.
- G. The current or revised treatment plan can be reasonably expected to bring about significant improvement in the clinical issues meeting criterion IIIA, and this is documented in weekly progress notes, written and signed by the provider.
- H. A discharge plan is formulated that is directly linked to the risks and needs, behavioral symptoms and/or clinical issues that resulted in admission, and begins to identify specific aftercare plans, including community reintegration using community resources, supervision, monitoring and appropriate post-residential treatment resources.
- I. All applicable elements in Admission-Intensity and Quality of Service Criteria are applied as related to assessment and treatment, if clinically relevant and appropriate.

- 1. Lewis, DO, Shankok, S., Pincus, JH. Juvenile Male Sexual Assaulters. American Journal of Psychiatry 136:9. September 1979. 1194-1196.
- 2. Horley, J. Sexual Offenders: Personal Construct Theory and Deviant Sexual Behaviour. New York, Routledge, 2008.
- 3. Houston, J and Galloway S. Sexual Offending and Mental Health: Multidisciplinary Management in the Community. Philadelphia, Jessica Kingsley Publishers, 2008
- 4. DiCataldo, FC. The Perversion of Youth: Controversies n the Assessment and Treatment of Juvenile Sex Offenders. New York University Press, 2009.
- 5. Hall, Ryan CW, Hall, Richard CW. A Profile of Pedophilia: Definition, Characteristics of Offenders, Recidivism, Treatment Outcomes and Forensic Issues. FOCUS. Fall 2009. 522-537.



## Guideline: Subacute Hospitalization, Psychiatric, Adult and Geriatric

**Effective Date:** July 1, 2017

Last Review Date: April 15, 2017

### **Criteria for Admission**

The specified requirements for severity of need and intensity and quality of service must be met to satisfy the criteria for admission.

#### I. Admission - Severity of Need

Criteria A, B, C, and D must be met to satisfy the criteria for severity of need.

- A. The patient has a diagnosed or suspected mental illness. Mental illness is defined as a psychiatric disorder that, by accepted medical standards, can be expected to improve significantly through medically necessary and appropriate therapy. Presence of the illness(es) must be documented through the assignment of an appropriate DSM-5 diagnosis. There is a high degree of potential for leading to acute psychiatric hospitalization in the absence of subacute hospitalization treatment.
- B. Either:
  - 1) There is clinical evidence that the patient would be at risk to self or others if he or she were not in a subacute hospitalization program, *or*
  - 2) As a result of the patient's mental disorder, there is an inability to adequately care for one's physical needs, and caretakers/guardians/family members are unable to safely fulfill these needs, representing potential serious harm to self.
- C. The patient requires an individual plan of active psychiatric treatment that includes 24-hour access to the full spectrum of psychiatric staffing. This psychiatric staffing must provide 24-hour services in a controlled environment that may include, but is not limited to, medication monitoring and administration, other therapeutic interventions, quiet room, restrictive safety measures, and suicidal/homicidal observation and precautions.
- D. The patient requires supervision seven days per week/24 hours per day to develop skills necessary for daily living, to assist with planning and arranging access to a range of educational, therapeutic and aftercare services, and to develop the adaptive and functional behavior that will allow him or her to live outside of a subacute hospital setting.

### II. Admission - Intensity and Quality of Service

Criteria A, B, C, D, and E must be met to satisfy the criteria for intensity and quality of service.

- A. The evaluation and assignment of a DSM-5 diagnosis must result from a face-to-face psychiatric evaluation performed within 24 hours of admission. For geriatric patients, as part of the mental status testing, assessment of cognitive functioning is required with standardized screening tools for cognitive assessment.
- B. The program provides supervision seven days per week/24 hours per day to assist with the development of skills necessary for daily living, to assist with planning and arranging access to a range of educational, therapeutic and aftercare services, and to assist with the development of the adaptive and functional behavior that will allow the patient to live outside of a subacute hospital setting.
- C. An individualized plan of active psychiatric treatment is provided in a timely manner. This treatment must be medically monitored, with 24-hour medical availability and 24-hour onsite nursing services. This plan includes:
  - 1) At least weekly family and/or support system involvement, unless there is an identified, valid reason why it is not clinically appropriate or feasible, *and*
  - 2) At least three-times-a-week psychiatric reassessments, and
  - 3) Psychotropic medications, when used, are to be used with specific target symptoms identified, *and*
  - 4) Evaluation for current medical problems, and
  - 5) Ongoing medical services to evaluate and manage comorbid medical conditions, and
  - 6) Evaluation for concomitant substance use issues, and
  - 7) Linkage and/or coordination with the patient's community resources with the goal of returning the patient to his/her regular social environment as soon as possible, unless contraindicated.
- D. If the patient is involved in treatment with another health provider, then, with proper patient informed consent, this provider should be notified of the patient's current status to ensure care is coordinated.
- E. A urine drug screen (UDS) is considered at the time of admission, when progress is not occurring, when substance misuse is suspected, or when substance use and medications may have a potential adverse interaction.
- F. For geriatric patients, the care is expected to include availability of activities/resources to meet the social needs of older patients with chronic mental illness. These needs would typically include, at a minimum, company, daily activities and having a close confidant, such as staff members or visitors.

### **Criteria for Continued Stay**

#### **III. Continued Stay**

Criteria A, B, C, D, E, F, and G must be met to satisfy the criteria for continued stay.

- A. Despite reasonable therapeutic efforts, clinical evidence indicates at least one of the following:
  - 1) The persistence of problems that caused the admission to a degree that continues to meet the admission criteria (both severity of need and intensity of service needs), *or*
  - 2) The emergence of additional problems that meet the admission criteria (both severity of need and intensity of service needs), *or*
  - 3) Disposition planning and/or attempts at therapeutic re-entry into the community have resulted in, or would result in, exacerbation of the psychiatric illness to the degree that would necessitate continued subacute hospital treatment. Subjective opinions without objective clinical information or evidence are NOT sufficient to meet severity of need based on justifying the expectation that there would be a decompensation.
- B. There is evidence of objective, measurable, and time-limited therapeutic clinical goals that must be met before the patient can be discharged from this level of care.
- C. There is evidence that the treatment plan is focused on the alleviation of psychiatric symptoms and precipitating psychosocial stressors that are interfering with the patient's ability to return to a less-intensive level of care.
- D. The current or revised treatment plan can be reasonably expected to bring about significant improvement in the problems meeting criterion IIIA, and this is documented in at least three-times-a-week progress notes, written and signed by the psychiatrist.
- E. There is evidence of at least weekly family and/or support system involvement, unless there is an identified, valid reason why such a plan is not clinically appropriate or feasible.
- F. A discharge plan is formulated that is directly linked to the behaviors and/or symptoms that resulted in admission, and begins to identify appropriate treatment resources after the subacute hospitalization.
- G. All applicable elements in Admission-Intensity and Quality of Service Criteria are applied as related to assessment and treatment, if clinically relevant and appropriate.

- Bartak, A., Andrea, H., Spreeuwenberg, M., Ziegler, U., Dekker, J., Rossum, B., & ... Emmelkamp, P. (2011). Effectiveness of outpatient, day hospital, and inpatient psychotherapeutic treatment for patients with cluster B personality disorders. *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics*, 80(1), 28-38.
- Bjornaas, M., Hovda, K., Heyerdahl, F., Skog, K., Drottning, P., Opdahl, A., & ... Ekeberg, O. (2010). Suicidal intention, psychosocial factors and referral to further treatment: a one-year crosssectional study of self-poisoning. BMC Psychiatry, 1058. Retrieved from EBSCOhost.
- Bloom, J., Williams, M., Land, C., McFarland, B., & Reichlin, S. (1998). Changes in public psychiatric hospitalization in Oregon over the past two decades. *Psychiatric Services* (Washington, D.C.), 49(3), 366-369.
- Browne, G., Courtney, M., & Meehan, T. (2004). Type of housing predicts rate of readmission to hospital but not length of stay in people with schizophrenia on the Gold Coast in Queensland. *Australian Health Review: A Publication of the Australian Hospital Association*, 27(1), 65-72.
- 5. Bruffaerts, R., Sabbe, M., & Demyttenaere, K. (2004). Effects of patient and health-system characteristics on community tenure of discharged psychiatric inpatients. *Psychiatric Services* (Washington, D.C.), 55(6), 685-690.
- 6. Buchanan, J., Dixon, D., & Thyer, B. (1997). A preliminary evaluation of treatment outcomes at a veterans' hospital's inpatient psychiatry unit. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, *53*(8), 853-858.
- Burgess, A., Douglas, J., Burgess, A., Baker, T., Sauve, H., & Gariti, K. (1997). Hospital communication threats and intervention. *Journal of Psychosocial Nursing and Mental Health Services*, 35(8), 9-16.
- 8. Capp, H., Thyer, B., & Bordnick, P. (1997). Evaluating improvement over the course of adult psychiatric hospitalization. *Social Work in Health Care*, *25*(4), 55-66.
- 9. Cascardi, M., Poythress, N., & Ritterband, L. (1997). Stability of psychiatric patients' perceptions of their admission experience. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, *53*(8), 833-839.
- Chiesa, M., Sharp, R., & Fonagy, P. (2011). Clinical associations of deliberate self-injury and its impact on the outcome of community-based and long-term inpatient treatment for personality disorder. *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics*, 80(2), 100-109.
- 11. Claassen, C., Hughes, C., Gilfillan, S., McIntire, D., Roose, A., Lumpkin, M., et al. (2000). Toward a redefinition of psychiatric emergency. *Health Services Research*, *35*(3), 735-754.
- Cohen, N., Gantt, A., & Sainz, A. (1997). Influences on fit between psychiatric patients' psychosocial needs and their hospital discharge plan. *Psychiatric Services (Washington, D.C.)*, 48(4), 518-523.
- Davidson, L., Tebes, J., Rakfeldt, J., & Sledge, W. (1996). Differences in social environment between inpatient and day hospital-crisis respite settings. *Psychiatric Services (Washington, D.C.)*, 47(7), 714-720.
- 14. Dew, R., & McCall, W. (2004). Efficiency of outpatient ECT. The Journal of ECT, 20(1), 24-25.
- 15. Engleman, N., Jobes, D., Berman, A., & Langbein, L. (1998). Clinicians' decision making about involuntary commitment. *Psychiatric Services (Washington, D.C.), 49*(7), 941-945.
- Evenson, R., Holland, R., & Cho, D. (1994). A psychiatric hospital 100 years ago: I. A comparative study of treatment outcomes then and now. *Hospital & Community Psychiatry*, 45(10), 1021-1025.

- 17. Figueroa, R., Harman, J., & Engberg, J. (2004). Use of claims data to examine the impact of length of inpatient psychiatric stay on readmission rate. *Psychiatric Services (Washington, D.C.)*, 55(5), 560-565.
- Halvorsen, M., Wang, C. E., Eisemann, M., & Waterloo, K. (2010). Dysfunctional Attitudes and Early Maladaptive Schemas as Predictors of Depression: A 9-Year Follow-Up Study. Cognitive Therapy & Research, 34(4), 368-379. doi:10.1007/s10608-009-9259-5
- 19. Harman, J., Cuffel, B., & Kelleher, K. (2004). Profiling hospitals for length of stay for treatment of psychiatric disorders. *The Journal of Behavioral Health Services & Research*, *31*(1), 66-74.
- 20. Katz S. Hospitalization and the mental health service system. In H Kaplan and B Saddock (Eds.). (2009).Comprehensive Textbook of Psychiatry (9<sup>th</sup> ed). Baltimore, MD: Lippincott, Williams and Wilkins.
- Krch-Cole, E., Lynch, P., & Ailey, S. (2012). Clients with intellectual disabilities on psychiatric units: care coordination for positive outcomes. *Journal of Psychiatric and Mental Health Nursing*, 19(3), 248-256.
- 22. Larivière, N. (2011). Multifaceted impact evaluation of a day hospital compared to hospitalization on symptoms, social participation, service satisfaction and costs associated to service use. *International Journal of Psychiatry in Clinical Practice*, *15*(3), 228-240.
- 23. McFarland, B., & Collins, J. (2011). Medicaid cutbacks and state psychiatric hospitalization of patients with schizophrenia. *Psychiatric Services (Washington, D.C.), 62*(8), 871-877.
- 24. Olfson, M., Ascher-Svanum, H., Faries, D., & Marcus, S. (2011). #1 Predicting psychiatric hospital admission among adults with schizophrenia. *Psychiatric Services (Washington, D.C.)*, 62(10), 1138-1145.
- 25. Pedersen, C. (2013). Processes of In-Hospital Psychiatric Care and Subsequent Criminal Behaviour Among Patients With Schizophrenia: A National Population-Based, Follow-Up Study. Canadian Journal of Psychiatry, 58(9), 515-521.
- 26. Pfeiffer, P., Ganoczy, D., Bowersox, N., McCarthy, J., Blow, F., & Valenstein, M. (2011). Depression care following psychiatric hospitalization in the Veterans Health Administration. *The American Journal of Managed Care*, 17(9), e358-e364.
- 27. Pfeiffer, S., O'Malley, D., & Shott, S. (1996). Factors associated with the outcome of adults treated in psychiatric hospitals: a synthesis of findings. *Psychiatric Services (Washington, D.C.)*, 47(3), 263-269.
- Pompili, M., Innamorati, M., Serafini, G., Forte, A., Cittadini, A., Mancinelli, I., & ... Tatarelli, R. (2011). Suicide attempters in the emergency department before hospitalization in a psychiatric ward. *Perspectives in Psychiatric Care*, 47(1), 23-34.
- 29. Prince, J. (2013). Call for Research: Detecting Early Vulnerability for Psychiatric Hospitalization. Journal of Behavioral Health Services & Research, 40(1), 46-56.
- 30. Prince JD, Akincigil A, Hoover DR, Walkup JT, Bilder S, Crystal S. (2009). Substance abuse and hospitalization for mood disorder among Medicaid beneficiaries. *The American Journal of Public Health*, 99(1), 160-167.
- 31. Reynolds, W., Lauder, W., Sharkey, S., Maciver, S., Veitch, T., & Cameron, D. (2004). The effects of a transitional discharge model for psychiatric patients. *Journal of Psychiatric and Mental Health Nursing*, 11(1), 82-88.

- 32. Schmutte, T., Dunn, C., & Sledge, W. (2010). Predicting time to readmission in patients with recent histories of recurrent psychiatric hospitalization: a matched-control survival analysis. *The Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, 198(12), 860-863. Retrieved from EBSCO*host*.
- 33. Tecic, T., Schneider, A., Althaus, A., Schmidt, Y., Bierbaum, C., Lefering, R., & ... Neugebauer, E. (2011). Early short-term inpatient psychotherapeutic treatment versus continued outpatient psychotherapy on psychosocial outcome: a randomized controlled trial in trauma patients. *The Journal of Trauma*, 70(2), 433-441.
- 34. Varner, R., Chen, Y., Swann, A., & Moeller, F. (2000). The Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale as an acute inpatient outcome measurement tool: a pilot study. *The Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*, *61*(6), 418-421.
- 35. Yeaman, C., Gambach, J., Bach, B., Manker, J., Diwan, S., & Corrigan, P. (2003). What happens to people receiving inpatient psychiatric services in mixed rural and urban communities? *Administration and Policy in Mental Health*, *30*(3), 247-253.



2017 – 2018 Magellan Healthcare Guidelines

Guideline: Subacute Hospitalization, Psychiatric, Child and Adolescent

Effective Date: July 1, 2017

Last Review Date: April 15, 2017

#### **Criteria for Admission**

The specified requirements for severity of need and intensity and quality of service must be met to satisfy the criteria for admission.

#### I. Admission - Severity of Need

Criteria A, B, C, and D must be met to satisfy the criteria for severity of need.

- A. The patient has a diagnosed or suspected mental illness. Mental illness is defined as a psychiatric disorder that, by accepted medical standards, can be expected to improve significantly through medically necessary and appropriate therapy. Presence of the illness(es) must be documented through the assignment of an appropriate DSM-5 diagnosis. There is a high degree of potential for leading to acute psychiatric hospitalization in the absence of subacute hospitalization treatment.
- B. Either:
  - 1) There is clinical evidence that the patient would be at risk to self or others if he or she were not in a subacute hospitalization program, *or*
  - 2) As a result of the patient's mental disorder, there is an inability to adequately care for one's physical needs, and caretakers/guardians/family members are unable to safely fulfill these needs, representing potential serious harm to self.
- C. The patient requires an individual plan of active psychiatric treatment that includes 24-hour access to the full spectrum of psychiatric staffing. This psychiatric staffing must provide 24-hour services in a controlled environment that may include, but is not limited to, medication monitoring and administration, other therapeutic interventions, quiet room, restrictive safety measures, and suicidal/homicidal observation and precautions.
- D. The patient requires supervision seven days per week/24 hours per day to develop skills necessary for daily living, to assist with planning and arranging access to a range of educational, therapeutic and aftercare services, and to develop the adaptive and functional behavior that will allow him or her to live outside of a subacute hospital setting.

## II. Admission - Intensity and Quality of Service

Criteria A, B, C, and D must be met to satisfy the criteria for intensity and quality of service.

- A. The evaluation and assignment of a DSM-5 diagnosis must result from a face-to-face psychiatric evaluation performed within 24 hours of admission.
- B. The program provides supervision seven days per week/24 hours per day to assist with the development of skills necessary for daily living, to assist with planning and arranging access to a range of educational, therapeutic and aftercare services, and to assist with the development of the adaptive and functional behavior that will allow the patient to live outside of a subacute hospital setting.
- C. An individualized plan of active psychiatric treatment is provided in a timely manner. This treatment must be medically monitored, with 24-hour medical availability and 24-hour onsite nursing services. This plan includes:
  - 1) At least weekly family and/or other support system involvement, unless there is an identified, valid reason why it is not clinically appropriate or feasible, *and*
  - 2) At least three-times-a-week psychiatric reassessments, and
  - 3) Psychotropic medications, when used, are to be used with specific target symptoms identified, *and*
  - 4) Ongoing medical services to evaluate and manage comorbid medical conditions, and
  - 5) Evaluation for current medical problems, and
  - 6) Evaluation for concomitant substance use issues, and
  - 7) Linkage and/or coordination with the patient's community resources with the goal of returning the patient to his/her regular social environment as soon as possible, unless contraindicated.
- D. If the patient is involved in treatment with another health provider, then, with proper patient informed consent, this provider should be notified of the patient's current status to ensure care is coordinated.

### **Criteria for Continued Stay**

#### III. Continued Stay

Criteria A, B, C, D, E, F, and G must be met to satisfy the criteria for continued stay.

- A. Despite reasonable therapeutic efforts, clinical evidence indicates at least one of the following:
  - 1) The persistence of problems that caused the admission to a degree that continues to meet the admission criteria (both severity of need and intensity of service needs), *or*
  - 2) The emergence of additional problems that meet the admission criteria (both severity of need and intensity of service needs), *or*

- 3) Disposition planning and/or attempts at therapeutic re-entry into the community have resulted in, or would result in, exacerbation of the psychiatric illness to the degree that would necessitate continued subacute hospital treatment. Subjective opinions without objective clinical information or evidence are NOT sufficient to meet severity of need based on justifying the expectation that there would be a decompensation.
- B. There is evidence of objective, measurable, and time-limited therapeutic clinical goals that must be met before the patient can be discharged from this level of care.
- C. There is evidence that the treatment plan is focused on the alleviation of psychiatric symptoms and precipitating psychosocial stressors that are interfering with the patient's ability to return to a less-intensive level of care.
- D. The current or revised treatment plan can be reasonably expected to bring about significant improvement in the problems meeting criterion IIIA, and this is documented in at least three-times-a-week progress notes, written and signed by the provider.
- E. There is evidence of at least weekly family and/or support system involvement, unless there is an identified, valid reason why such a plan is not clinically appropriate or feasible.
- F. A discharge plan is formulated that is directly linked to the behaviors and/or symptoms that resulted in admission, and begins to identify appropriate treatment resources after the subacute hospitalization.
- G. All applicable elements in Admission-Intensity and Quality of Service Criteria are applied as related to assessment and treatment, if clinically relevant and appropriate.

- Becker, D., & Grilo, C. (2007). Prediction of suicidality and violence in hospitalized adolescents: comparisons by sex. *Canadian Journal of Psychiatry. Revue Canadienne De Psychiatrie*, 52(9), 572-580.
- 2. Biering, P., & Jensen, V. H. (2011). The Concept of Patient Satisfaction in Adolescent Psychiatric Care: A Qualitative Study. *Journal of Child & Adolescent Psychiatric Nursing*, 24(1), 3-10.
- 3. Case, B., Olfson, M., Marcus, S., & Siegel, C. (2007). Trends in the inpatient mental health treatment of children and adolescents in US community hospitals between 1990 and 2000. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, *64*(1), 89-96.
- 4. Cropsey, K., Weaver, M., & Dupre, M. (2008). Predictors of involvement in the juvenile justice system among psychiatric hospitalized adolescents. *Addictive Behaviors*, *33*(7), 942-948.
- Daniel, S., Goldston, D., Harris, A., Kelley, A., & Palmes, G. (2004). Review of literature on aftercare services among children and adolescents. *Psychiatric Services (Washington, D.C.)*, 55(8), 901-912.
- 6. Flanders, S., Findling, R., Youngstrom, E., Pandina, G., Rupnow, M., Jensik, S., et al. (2007). Observed clinical and health services outcomes in pediatric inpatients treated with atypical antipsychotics: 1999-2003. *Journal of Child and Adolescent Psychopharmacology*, *17*(3), 312-327.
- 7. McClendon, D. J. (2011). Sensitivity to change of youth treatment outcome measures: a comparison of the CBCL, BASC-2, and Y-OQ. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, *67*(1), 111-125.
- 8. Meagher, S. (2013). Changing Trends in Inpatient Care for Psychiatrically Hospitalized Youth: 1991-2008. Psychiatric Quarterly, 84(2), 159-168. PDF may be purchased
- 9. Moses, T. (2011). Adolescents' Perspectives About Brief Psychiatric Hospitalization: What is Helpful and What is Not? *Psychiatric Quarterly*, 82(2), 121-137.
- 10. Patel, N., Hariparsad, M., Matias-Akthar, M., Sorter, M., Barzman, D., Morrison, J., et al. (2007). Body mass indexes and lipid profiles in hospitalized children and adolescents exposed to atypical antipsychotics. *Journal of Child and Adolescent Psychopharmacology*, 17(3), 303-311.
- 11. Santiago, L., Tunik, M., Foltin, G., & Mojica, M. (2006). Children requiring psychiatric consultation in the pediatric emergency department: epidemiology, resource utilization, and complications. *Pediatric Emergency Care*, *22*(2), 85-89.
- 12. Stellwagen, K., Kerig, P. (2010). Relation of callous-unemotional traits to length of stay among youth hospitalized at a state psychiatric inpatient facility. Child Psychiatry & Human Development; Jun 2010, Vol. 41 Issue 3, p251-261.
- Swadi, H., & Bobier, C. (2005). Hospital admission in adolescents with acute psychiatric disorder: how long should it be? *Australasian Psychiatry: Bulletin of Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Psychiatrists*, 13(2), 165-168.
- Warner, L., Fontanella, C., & Pottick, K. (2007). Initiation and change of psychotropic medication regimens among adolescents in inpatient care. *Journal of Child and Adolescent Psychopharmacology*, 17(5), 701-712.



Guideline: Psychological Testing

Effective Date: July 1, 2017

Last Review Date: April 15, 2017

## **Criteria for Authorization**

The purpose of psychological testing includes, but is not limited to: assisting with diagnosis and management following clinical evaluation when a mental illness or psychological abnormality is suspected; providing a differential diagnosis from a range of neurological/ psychological disorders that present with similar constellations of symptoms, e.g., differentiation between pseudodementia and depression; determining the clinical and functional significance of a brain abnormality; or delineating the specific cognitive basis of functional complaints.

Prior to psychological testing, the individual must be assessed by a qualified behavioral healthcare provider. The diagnostic interview determines the need for and extent of the psychological testing. Testing may be completed at the onset of treatment to assist with necessary differential diagnosis issues and/or to help resolve specific treatment planning questions. It also may occur later in treatment if the individual's condition has not progressed since the institution of the initial treatment plan and there is no clear explanation for the lack of improvement.

### I. Severity of Need

Criteria A, B, and C must be met:

- A. The reason for testing must be based on a specific referral question or questions from the treating provider and related directly to the psychiatric or psychological treatment of the individual.
- B. The specific referral question(s) cannot be answered adequately by means of clinical interview and/or behavioral observations.
- C. The testing results based on the referral question(s) must be reasonably anticipated to provide information that will effectively guide the course of appropriate treatment.

## II. Intensity and Quality of Care

Criteria A and B must be met:

A. A licensed doctoral-level psychologist (Ph.D., Psy.D. or Ed.D.), medical psychologist (M.P.), or other qualified provider as permitted by applicable state

and/or federal law, who is credentialed by and contracted with Magellan, administers the tests.

B. The requested tests must be standardized, valid and reliable in order to answer the specific clinical question for the specific population under consideration. The most recent version of the test must be used, except as outlined in *Standards for Educational and Psychological Testing.* 

### III. Exclusion Criteria

Psychological testing will not be authorized under any of the following conditions:

- A. The patient is not neurologically and cognitively able to participate in a meaningful way in the testing process.
- B. The test is used as screening tool given to the individual or to general populations.
- C. Administered for educational or vocational purposes that do not establish medical management.
- D. Performed when abnormalities of brain function are not suspected.
- E. Used for self-administered or self-scored inventories, or screening tests of cognitive function (whether paper-and-pencil or computerized), e.g., AIMS or Folstein Mini-Mental Status Examination.
- F. Repeated when not required for medical decision-making (i.e., making a diagnosis or deciding whether to start or continue a particular rehabilitative or pharmacologic therapy).
- G. Administered when the patient has a substance abuse background and any of the following apply:
  - 1) The patient has ongoing substance abuse such that test results would be inaccurate, or
  - 2) The patient is currently intoxicated.
- H. The patient has been diagnosed previously with brain dysfunction such as Alzheimer's disease, and there is no expectation that the testing would impact the patient's medical management.
- I. The test is being given solely as a screening test for Alzheimer's disease.
- J. Unless allowed by the individual's benefit plan, the testing is primarily for the purpose of determining if an individual is a candidate for a medical or surgical procedure.
- K. The testing is primarily for diagnosing attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), unless the diagnostic interview, clinical observations, and results of appropriate behavioral rating scales are inconclusive.
- L. The testing is primarily for legal purposes, including custody evaluations, parenting assessments, or other court or government ordered or requested testing.

- M. The requested tests are experimental, antiquated, or not validated.
- N. The testing request is made prior to the completion of a diagnostic interview by a behavioral health provider, unless pre-approved by Magellan.
- O. More than eight hours per patient per evaluation is considered excessive and supporting documentation in the medical record must be present to justify greater than eight hours per patient per evaluation.
- P. Two or more tests are requested that measure the same functional domain.
- Q. The number of hours requested for the administration, scoring, interpretation and reporting exceeds the generally accepted standard for the specific testing instrument(s), unless justified by particular testing circumstances.
- R. Testing to determine if an individual is a candidate for a specific medication or dosage is an excluded benefit.
- S. The use of structured interview tools or interviews that do not have psychometric properties or normative comparisons is not a covered benefit.

- 1. Barkley, R. A. (2006). Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder: A Handbook for diagnosis and treatment (3<sup>rd</sup> Ed.). New York: Guilford Press.
- Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center. Evidence based clinical practice guideline for outpatient evaluation and management of attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder. Cincinnati (OH): Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center; 2004 Apr 30 :1-23.
- 3. Hunsley, J., & Mash, E. (2007). Evidence-based assessment. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology*, 329-51.
- 4. Murphy, L. L., Spies, R. A. & Plake, B.S. (Eds.) *Tests in print VII: An index to tests, test reviews, and the literature on specific tests.* Lincoln, Neb.: Buros Institute of Mental Measurements, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, (2006).
- 5. Practice Parameters for the Assessment and Treatment of Children and Adolescents with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (2007). *Journal of American Academy Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 46(7). 894-921.
- 6. Root, R. W. & Resnick, R. J. (2003). An update on the diagnosis and treatment of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in children. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice, 34* (1), 34-41.
- 7. Standards for Educational and Psychological Testing. Revised (1999) Washington, D.C.: AERA Publications. p. 48.



Guideline: Neuropsychological Testing

Effective Date: July 1, 2017

Last Review Date: April 15, 2017

## **Criteria for Authorization**

Neuropsychological tests are evaluations designed to determine the functional consequences of known or suspected brain dysfunction through testing of the neuro-cognitive domains responsible for language, perception, memory, learning, problem solving, adaptation, and constructional praxis.

These evaluations are requested for patients with a history of psychological, neurologic or medical disorders known to impact cognitive or neurobehavioral functioning. The evaluations include a history of medical or neurological disorders compromising cognitive or behavioral functioning; congenital, genetic, or metabolic disorders known to be associated with impairments in cognitive or brain development; reported impairments in cognitive functioning; and evaluations of cognitive function as a part of the standard of care for treatment selection and treatment outcome evaluations.

In addition, the evaluation includes a formal interview, a review of medical, educational, and vocational records, interviews with significant others, and a battery of standardized neuropsychological assessments. The testing quantifies a patient's higher cortical functioning and may include various aspects of attention, memory, speed of information processing, language, visual-spatial ability, sensory processing, motor ability, higher-order executive functioning, and intelligence. The goal of neuropsychological testing may be clarification of diagnosis, determination of the clinical and functional significance of a brain abnormality, or development of recommendations regarding neurological rehabilitation planning, but is always for the purpose of shaping treatment.

Neuropsychological testing should be considered for coverage through the patient's **mental health** benefit when:

- The referring practitioner is a psychiatrist, neuropsychologist, psychologist, or other behavioral health clinician.
- The primary diagnosis is psychiatric, even though medical problems are involved; the purpose of testing is to clarify whether it is a psychiatric diagnosis (e.g., dementia versus pseudo-dementia; head injury versus anxiety/depression; organic mood versus mood disorder not otherwise specified; or organic delusion versus schizophrenia).

Neuropsychological testing should be considered for coverage through the patient's **medical benefit** when:

- The referring practitioner is a neurologist, primary care physician, surgeon, or pain specialist.
- The primary diagnosis is medical (e.g., multiple sclerosis, head injury, tumors, Alzheimer's disease or stroke).

#### I. Severity of Need

Criteria A and B, and one of C-O must be met:

- A. The reason for testing must be based on a specific referral question and this specific referral question(s) cannot be answered adequately by means of clinical interview and/or behavioral observations.
- B. The testing results based on the referral question(s) are reasonably expected to provide information that will effectively guide the course of treatment.
- C. When there are mild or questionable deficits on standard mental status testing or clinical interview, and a neuropsychological assessment is needed to establish the presence of abnormalities or distinguish them from changes that may occur with normal aging, or the expected progression of other disease processes; or
- D. When neuropsychological data can be combined with clinical, laboratory, and neuroimaging data to assist in establishing a clinical diagnosis in neurological or systemic conditions known to affect CNS functioning; or
- E. When there is a need to quantify cognitive or behavioral deficits related to CNS impairment, especially when the information will be useful in determining a prognosis or informing treatment planning by determining the rate of disease progression; or
- F. When there is a need for a pre-surgical or treatment-related cognitive evaluation to determine whether one might safely proceed with a medical or surgical procedure that may affect brain function (e.g., deep brain stimulation, resection of brain tumors or arteriovenous malformations, epilepsy surgery or stem cell transplant) or significantly alter a patient's functional status; or
- G. When there is a need to assess the potential impact of adverse effects of therapeutic substances that may cause cognitive impairment (e.g., radiation, chemotherapy, antiepileptic medications), especially when this information is utilized to determine treatment planning; or
- H. When there is a need to monitor progression, recovery, and response to changing treatments, in patients with CNS disorders, in order to establish the most effective plan of care; or
- I. When there is a need for objective measurement of the patient's subjective complaints about memory, attention, or other cognitive dysfunction, which serves to determine treatment by differentiating psychogenic from neurogenic syndromes (e.g., dementia vs. depression); or
- J. When there is a need to establish a treatment plan by determining functional abilities/impairments in individuals with known or suspected CNS disorders; or
- K. When there is a need to determine whether a patient can comprehend and participate effectively in complex treatment regimens (e.g., surgeries to modify facial

appearance, hearing, or tongue debulking in craniofacial or Down syndrome patients; transplant or bariatric surgeries in patients with diminished capacity), and to determine functional capacity for healthcare decision-making, work, independent living, managing financial affairs, etc.; or

- L. When there is a need to design, administer, and/or monitor outcomes of cognitive rehabilitation procedures, such as compensatory memory training for brain-injured patients; or
- M. When there is a need to establish treatment planning through identification and assessment of the neurocognitive sequelae of systemic disease (e.g., hepatic encephalopathy or anoxic/hypoxic injury associated with cardiac procedures); or
- N. When there is a need for assessment of neurocognitive functions for the formulation of rehabilitation and/or management strategies among individuals with neuropsychiatric disorders; or
- O. When there is a need to diagnose cognitive or functional deficits in children and adolescents based on an inability to develop expected knowledge, skills or abilities as required to adapt to new or changing cognitive, social, emotional, or physical demands.

#### II. Intensity and Quality of Care

Criteria A and B must be met:

- A. Tests are administered directly by either an appropriate state-licensed provider or by a trained technician. The technician who administers the neuropsychological test must be directly supervised by the provider.
- B. Requested tests must be standardized, valid and reliable. The most recent version of the test must be used.

Neuropsychological tests include direct question-and-answer; object manipulation; inspection and responses to pictures or patterns; or paper-and-pencil written or multiple-choice tests that measure functional impairment and abilities in:

- 1. General intellect
- 2. Reasoning, sequencing, problem-solving, and executive function
- 3. Attention and concentration
- 4. Learning and memory
- 5. Language and communication
- 6. Visual-spatial cognition and visual-motor praxis
- 7. Motor and sensory function
- 8. Mood, conduct, personality, quality of life
- 9. Adaptive behavior (activities of daily living)
- 10. Social-emotional awareness and responsivity
- 11. Psychopathology (e.g., psychotic thinking or somatization)
- 12. Motivation and effort (e.g., symptom validity testing).

#### **III. Exclusion Criteria**

Neuropsychological testing will not be authorized under the following conditions:

- A. The patient is not neurologically and cognitively able to participate in a meaningful way in the testing process.
- B. The test is used as a screening tool given to the individual or to general populations.
- C. Administered for educational or vocational purposes that do not establish medical management.
- D. Performed when abnormalities of brain function are not suspected.
- E. Used for self-administered or self-scored inventories, or screening tests of cognitive function (whether paper-and-pencil or computerized), e.g., AIMS or Folstein Mini-Mental Status Examination.
- F. Repeated when not required for medical decision-making (i.e., making a diagnosis or deciding whether to start or continue a particular rehabilitative or pharmacologic therapy).
- G. Administered when the patient has a substance abuse background and any of the following apply:
  - 1) The patient has ongoing substance abuse such that test results would be inaccurate, or
  - 2) The patient is currently intoxicated.
- H. The patient has been diagnosed previously with brain dysfunction such as Alzheimer's disease, and there is no expectation that the testing would impact the patient's medical management.
- I. The test is being given solely as a screening test for Alzheimer's disease.
- J. Unless allowed by the individual's benefit plan, the testing is primarily for the purpose of determining if an individual is a candidate for a medical or surgical procedure.
- K. The testing is primarily for diagnosing attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), unless the diagnostic interview, clinical observations, and results of appropriate behavioral rating scales are inconclusive.
- L. The testing is primarily for legal purposes, including custody evaluations, parenting assessments, or other court or government ordered or requested testing.
- M. The requested tests are experimental, antiquated, or not validated.
- N. The testing request is made prior to the completion of a diagnostic interview by a behavioral health provider, unless pre-approved by Magellan.
- O. More than eight hours per patient per evaluation is considered excessive and supporting documentation in the medical record must be present to justify greater than eight hours per patient per evaluation.

- P. Two or more tests are requested that measure the same functional domain.
- Q. The number of hours requested for the administration, scoring, interpretation and reporting exceeds the generally accepted standard for the specific testing instrument(s), unless justified by particular testing circumstances.
- R. Testing to determine if an individual is a candidate for a specific medication or dosage is an excluded benefit.
- S. The use of structured interview tools or interviews that do not have psychometric properties or normative comparisons is not a covered benefit.

## **IV. Standardized Cognitive Testing**

- A. Cognitive testing is considered a type of neuropsychological testing.
- B. Cognitive testing is authorized in compliance with CMS coding rules:
  - 1. Billing is limited to two hours on the same date of service.

- 1. American Academy of Clinical Neuropsychology (2010). AACN response to AMA/PCPI Dementia Performance Measurement Set. Retrieved 7/26/2011.
- 2. American Academy of Clinical Neuropsychology (2011). AACN letter to the Wisconsin Physicians Service on LCD. Retrieved 7/26/2011.
- 3. American Medical Association (2006). CPT Assistant. American Medical Association.
- 4. American Psychological Association (2010). Ethical Principles of Psychologists and Code of Conduct. Retrieved 7/30/2011.
- Baars, M. A. E., van Bostel, M. P. J., Dijkstra, J. B., Visser, P. J., van den Akker, M. Verhey F. R. J. et al., (2009). Predictive value of mild cognitive impairment for dementia. *Dementia and Geriatric Cognitive Disorders*, 27, 173-181.
- Beck I, Gagneux-Zurbriggen A, Berres M, Taylor K, Monsch A. Comparison of verbal episodic memory measures: consortium to establish a registry for Alzheimer's disease Neuropsychological Assessment Battery (CERAD-NAB) versus California Verbal Learning Test (CVLT). Archives Of Clinical Neuropsychology: The Official Journal Of The National Academy Of Neuropsychologists [serial online]. August 2012;27(5):510-519. Available from: MEDLINE Complete, Ipswich, MA. Accessed January 2, 2015.
- Cosentino, S., Metcalfe, J., Cary, M., De Leon, J., & Karlawish, J. (2011). Memory awareness influences everyday decision making capacity about medication management in Alzheimer's disease. *International Journal of Alzheimer's Disease*, Article ID 483897, 9 pages.
- Cummings, J., Jones, R., Wikinson, D. Lopez, O. et al (2010). Effect of donepezil on cognition in Alzheimer's disease: a pooled data analysis. *Journal of Alzheimer's Disease*, 21, 843-851.
- Farias, S. T., Harrell, E., Neumann, C., & Houtz, A. (2003). The relationship between neuropsychological performance and daily functioning in individuals with Alzheimer's disease: ecological validity of neuropsychological tests. *Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology*, 18, 655-672.
- Ferman, T. J., Smith, G. E., Boeve, G. F., Graff-Radford, N. R., Lucas, J. A., Knopman, D. S., et al. (2006) Neuropsychological differentiation of dementia with Lewy Bodies from normal aging and Alzheimer's disease. *Clinical Neuropsychologist*, 20, 623-636.
- 11. Gavett, B. E., Lou, K. R., Daneshvar, D. H., Green, R. C., Jefferson, A. L., & Stern, R. A. (2012). Diagnostic accuracy statistics for seven Neuropsychological Assessment Battery (NAB) test variables in the diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease. *Applied Neuropsychology. Adult, 19*(2), 108-115.

- Jak, A. J., Bondi, M. W., Delano-Wood, L., Wierenga, C., Corey-Bloom, J., Salmon, D. P., et al. (2009). Quantification of five neuropsychological approaches to defining mild cognitive impairment. *American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 17, 368-375.
- Mittelman M.S., Haley, W. E., Clay, O. J., & Roth, D. L. (2006). Improving caregiver well-being delays nursing home placement of patients with Alzheimer's disease. *Neurology*, 67, 1592-1599.
- 14. *Neuropsychology Model LCD Taskforce*, a national workgroup representing The American Academy of Clinical Neuropsychology (AACN), the American Psychological Association (APA) Division of Clinical Neuropsychology, and the National Academy of Neuropsychology (NAN), 011.



2017 - 2018 Magellan Healthcare Guidelines

Guideline: Supervised Living, Psychiatric, Adult and Geriatric

**Effective Date:** July 1, 2017

## Last Review Date: April 15, 2017

### **Criteria for Admission**

The specified requirements for severity of need and intensity and quality of service must be met to satisfy the criteria for admission.

#### I. Admission - Severity of Need

Criteria A, B, C, and D must be met to satisfy the criteria for severity of need.

- A. The patient has a primary DSM-5 diagnosis of a mental illness which is the cause of significant functional and psychosocial impairment, and the patient's clinical condition can be expected to be stabilized through the provision of medically necessary supervised residential services in conjunction with medically necessary treatment, rehabilitation and support.
- B. The patient's condition requires residential supervision and active support to ensure the adequate, effective coping skills necessary to live safely in the community, participate in self-care and treatment and manage the effects of his/her illness. As a result of the patient's clinical condition (impaired judgment, behavior control, or role functioning) there is a significant current risk of one of the following:
  - 1) Hospitalization or other inpatient care as evidenced by the current course of illness or by the past history of illness, *or*
  - 2) Harm to self or others as a result of the mental illness and as evidenced by the current behavior or by the past history.
- C. The patient's own resources and social support system are not adequate to provide the level of residential support and supervision currently needed as evidenced by one of the following:
  - 1) The patient has no residence and no social support, or
  - 2) The patient has a current residential placement, but the existing placement does not provide adequate supervision to ensure safety and participation in treatment, *or*
  - 3) The patient has a current residential placement, but the patient is unable to use the relationships in the existing residence to ensure safety and participation in treatment, or the relationships are dysfunctional and undermine the stability of treatment.

D. The patient is judged to be able to reliably cooperate with the rules and supervision provided, and to reliably plan for safety in the supervised residence.

## II. Admission - Intensity and Quality of Service

Criteria A, B, C, D, E, and F must be met to satisfy the criteria for intensity and quality of service.

- A. Supervised living will provide supervision and support in a residence outside of the patient's own home, and provides needed resources and support not sufficiently available within the patient's own existing social support system. Clinical intervention services, including behavioral, psychological and psychosocial therapeutic interventions, must be provided. These may be provided within the supervised residential settings, in lieu of or in addition to outpatient and other community-based mental health services.
- B. The therapeutic focus of treatment should be on the "here and now" and on encouragement of new activities and initiatives.
- C. At least one responsible staff person must be present or available by telephone at all times when there are patients on the premises.
- D. There is the provision of, or coordination with, medical and/or nursing services sufficient to manage this patient's comorbid medical conditions.
- E. A Urine Drug Screen (UDS) is considered at the time of admission, when progress is not occurring, when substance misuse is suspected, or when substance use and medications may have a potential adverse interaction. After a positive screen, additional random screens are considered and referral to a substance use disorder provider is considered.
- F. For patients over 60 years of age, assessment of cognitive functioning is warranted with standardized screening tools for cognitive assessment.

### **Criteria for Continued Stay**

### III. Continued Stay

Criteria A, B, C, D, E, and F must be met to satisfy the criteria for continued stay.

- A. The patient continues to have significant functional impairment as a result of a mental illness, and the problems that caused the admission persist to a degree that continues to meet the admission criteria.
- B. There continues to be a risk of one of the following:
  - 1) Inpatient admission, or
  - 2) Harm to self or others.
- C. There is evidence that the resources and social support system which are available to the patient outside the supervised residence continue to be inadequate to provide the level of residential support and supervision currently needed for safety, self-care or effective treatment despite current treatment, rehabilitation and discharge/disposition planning.
- D. There is evidence of coordination between the patient's supervisor and the case manager or primary therapist, if applicable.

- E. A discharge plan is formulated that is directly linked to the behaviors and/or symptoms that resulted in admission, and begins to identify appropriate post-supervised living, community-based treatment resources.
- F. All applicable elements in Admission-Intensity and Quality of Service Criteria are applied as related to assessment and treatment, if clinically relevant and appropriate.

- Anderson, D., Nortcliffe, M., Dechenne, S., & Wilson, K. (2011). The rising demand for consultationliaison psychiatry for older people: comparisons within Liverpool and the literature across time. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 26(12), 1231-1235.
- 2. Bartak, A., Andrea, H., Spreeuwenberg, M., Ziegler, U., Dekker, J., Rossum, B., & ... Emmelkamp, P. (2011). Effectiveness of outpatient, day hospital, and inpatient psychotherapeutic treatment for patients with cluster B personality disorders. *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics*, *80*(1), 28-38.
- Browne, G., Courtney, M., & Meehan, T. (2004). Type of housing predicts rate of readmission to hospital but not length of stay in people with schizophrenia on the Gold Coast in Queensland. *Australian Health Review: A Publication of the Australian Hospital Association*, 27(1), 65-72.
- 4. Bruffaerts, R., Sabbe, M., & Demyttenaere, K. (2004). Effects of patient and health-system characteristics on community tenure of discharged psychiatric inpatients. *Psychiatric Services* (Washington, D.C.), 55(6), 685-690.
- 5. Busse EW, Blazer DG. (2004). Mood Disorders: Depression and Medical Illness, in Textbook of Geriatric Psychiatry 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition. Arlington, VA. American Psychiatric Press.
- 6. Chiesa, M., Sharp, R., & Fonagy, P. (2011). Clinical associations of deliberate self-injury and its impact on the outcome of community-based and long-term inpatient treatment for personality disorder. *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics*, *80*(2), 100-109.
- Davidson, L., Tebes, J., Rakfeldt, J., & Sledge, W. (1996). Differences in social environment between inpatient and day hospital-crisis respite settings. *Psychiatric Services (Washington, D.C.)*, 47(7), 714-720.
- 8. Ellison, J., Kyomen, H., & Harper, D. (2012). Depression in later life: an overview with treatment recommendations. *The Psychiatric Clinics of North America*, *35*(1), 203-229.
- Fischer, C., Cohen, C., Stephens, A., Ross, S., Hoch, J., Cooper, J., & ...Wasylenki, D. (2011). Determining the impact of establishing a psychogeriatric outreach team network in long-term care. *Psychiatric Services (Washington, D.C.), 62*(3), 299-302.
- 10. Futeran, S., & Draper, B. M. (2012). An examination of the needs of older patients with chronic mental illness in public mental health services. *Aging & Mental Health*, *16*(3), 327-334.
- Katz S. Hospitalization and the mental health service system. In H Kaplan and B Saddock (Eds.). (2009).Comprehensive Textbook of Psychiatry (9<sup>th</sup> ed). Baltimore, MD: Lippincott, Williams and Wilkins.
- 12. Larivière, N. (2011). Multifaceted impact evaluation of a day hospital compared to hospitalization on symptoms, social participation, service satisfaction and costs associated to service use. *International Journal of Psychiatry in Clinical Practice*, *15*(3), 228-240.
- 13. McFarland, B., & Collins, J. (2011). Medicaid cutbacks and state psychiatric hospitalization of patients with schizophrenia. *Psychiatric Services (Washington, D.C.), 62*(8), 871-877.
- 14. Molinari, V., Chiriboga, D., Branch, L., Schinka, J., Schonfeld, L., Kos, L., & ... Hyer, K. (2011). Reasons for psychiatric medication prescription for new nursing home residents. *Aging & Mental Health*, 15(7), 904-912.
- Olfson, M., Ascher-Svanum, H., Faries, D., & Marcus, S. (2011). #1 Predicting psychiatric hospital admission among adults with schizophrenia. *Psychiatric Services (Washington, D.C.)*, 62(10), 1138-1145.

- 16. Paton, J., Fahy, M., & Livingston, G. (2004). Delayed discharge--a solvable problem? The place of intermediate care in mental health care of older people. *Aging & Mental Health*, *8*(1), 34-39.
- Pfeiffer, P., Ganoczy, D., Bowersox, N., McCarthy, J., Blow, F., & Valenstein, M. (2011). Depression care following psychiatric hospitalization in the Veterans Health Administration. *The American Journal of Managed Care*, 17(9), e358-e364.
- Pfeiffer, S., O'Malley, D., & Shott, S. (1996). Factors associated with the outcome of adults treated in psychiatric hospitals: a synthesis of findings. *Psychiatric Services (Washington, D.C.)*, 47(3), 263-269.
- 19. Prince, J. (2013). Call for Research: Detecting Early Vulnerability for Psychiatric Hospitalization. Journal Of Behavioral Health Services & Research, 40(1), 46-56.
- 20. Prince JD, Akincigil A, Hoover DR, Walkup JT, Bilder S, Crystal S. (2009). Substance abuse and hospitalization for mood disorder among Medicaid beneficiaries. *The American Journal of Public Health*, 99(1), 160-167.
- 21. Reynolds, W., Lauder, W., Sharkey, S., Maciver, S., Veitch, T., & Cameron, D. (2004). The effects of a transitional discharge model for psychiatric patients. *Journal of Psychiatric and Mental Health Nursing*, 11(1), 82-88.
- 22. Saavedra, J., Cubero, M., & Crawford, P. (2012). Everyday Life, Culture, and Recovery: Carer Experiences in Care Homes for Individuals with Severe Mental Illness. *Culture, Medicine & Psychiatry*, 36(3), 422-441.
- 23. Samus, Q., Onyike, C., Johnston, D., Mayer, L., McNabney, M., Baker, A., & ... Rosenblatt, A. (2013). 12-month incidence, prevalence, persistence, and treatment of mental disorders among individuals recently admitted to assisted living facilities in Maryland. International Psychogeriatrics / IPA, 25(5), 721-731.
- 24. Sanders, J., Bremmer, M., Comijs, H., Deeg, D., Lampe, I., & Beekman, A. (2011). Cognitive functioning and the natural course of depressive symptoms in late life. *The American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry: Official Journal of the American Association for Geriatric Psychiatry*, 19(7), 664-672.
- 25. Schmutte, T., Dunn, C., & Sledge, W. (2010). Predicting time to readmission in patients with recent histories of recurrent psychiatric hospitalization: a matched-control survival analysis. *The Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, 198(12), 860-863. Retrieved from EBSCO*host*.
- 26. Seitz, D. P., Vigod, S. N., Lin, E., Gruneir, A., Newman, A., Anderson, G., & ... Herrmann, N. (2012). Characteristics of Older Adults Hospitalized in Acute Psychiatric Units in Ontario: A Population-Based Study. *Canadian Journal of Psychiatry*, 57(9), 554-563.
- 27. Steffens, DC. (2008). Separating mood disturbance from mild cognitive impairment in geriatric depression. International Review of Psychiatry (Abingdon, England) [Int Rev Psychiatry] 2008 Aug; Vol. 20 (4), pp. 374-81.
- Steffens, DC; Potter, GG. (2007). Geriatric depression and cognitive impairment. Psychological Medicine [Psychol Med] 2008 Feb; Vol. 38 (2), pp. 163-75. *Date of Electronic Publication:* 2007 Jun 22.
- 29. Tecic, T., Schneider, A., Althaus, A., Schmidt, Y., Bierbaum, C., Lefering, R., & ... Neugebauer, E. (2011). Early short-term inpatient psychotherapeutic treatment versus continued outpatient psychotherapy on psychosocial outcome: a randomized controlled trial in trauma patients. *The Journal of Trauma*, *70*(2), 433-441.



Guideline: Supervised Living, Psychiatric, Child and Adolescent

Effective Date: July 1, 2017

Last Review Date: April 15, 2017

### **Criteria for Admission**

The specified requirements for severity of need and intensity and quality of service must be met to satisfy the criteria for admission.

# I. Admission - Severity of Need

Criteria A, B, C, and D must be met to satisfy the criteria for severity of need.

- A. The patient has a primary DSM-5 diagnosis of an emotional/psychiatric disturbance and/or significant behavioral problem which is the cause of significant functional and psychosocial impairment, and the patient's clinical condition can be expected to be stabilized through the provision of medically necessary supervised residential services in a supportive home environment in conjunction with medically necessary treatment, rehabilitation and support.
- B. The patient's condition requires residential supervision and active support to ensure the adequate, effective, coping skills necessary to live safely in the community, participate in self-care and treatment and manage the effects of his/her illness. The patient's family or caregivers demonstrate an inability to adequately care for the patient's physical, emotional, psychosocial and/or supervision needs. As a result of the patient's behavioral problems and/or functional deficits and the family's and/or support system's inability to provide adequate care and supervision of the patient to ensure his/her safety, there is a significant current risk of one of the following:
  - 1) Hospitalization or other inpatient care as evidenced by the current course of the disorder or by the past history of the disorder, *or*
  - 2) Harm to self or others as a result of mental illness as evidenced by the current behavior or by the past history.
- C. The patient's home environment, family resources and support network are not adequate to provide the level of residential support and supervision currently needed by the patient.
- D. The patient is judged to be able to reliably cooperate with the rules and supervision provided and can be safe in a supervised residence.

### II. Admission - Intensity and Quality of Service

Criteria A, B, and C must be met to satisfy the criteria for intensity and quality of service.

- A. Supervised living will provide supervision and support in a residence outside of the patient's own home, and provides needed resources and support not sufficiently available within the patient's own existing social support system. Clinical intervention services, including behavioral, psychological and psychosocial therapeutic interventions, must be provided. These may be provided within supervised residential settings, in lieu of or in addition to outpatient and other community-based mental health services.
- B. At least one responsible staff person must be present at all times when there are patients on the premises.
- C. There is the provision of, or coordination with, medical and/or nursing services sufficient to manage this patient's comorbid medical conditions.
- D. A Urine Drug Screen (UDS) is considered at the time of admission, when progress is not occurring, when substance misuse is suspected, or when substance use and medications may have a potential adverse interaction. After a positive screen, additional random screens are considered and referral to a substance use disorder provider is considered.

### **Criteria for Continued Stay**

#### **III.** Continued Stay

Criteria A, B, C, D, E, and F must be met to satisfy the criteria for continued stay.

- A. The patient continues to have significant functional impairment as a result of a psychiatric disorder, and the problems that caused the admission persist to a degree that continues to meet the admission criteria.
- B. The patient's family or caregivers continue to demonstrate an inability to adequately care for the patient's physical, emotional, psychosocial and/or supervision needs and, as a result, there continues to be a risk of one of the following:
  - 1) Inpatient admission, or
  - 2) Harm to self or others.
- C. There is evidence that the resources and social support system which are available to the patient outside the supervised residence continue to be inadequate to provide the level of residential support and supervision currently needed for safety, care or effective treatment despite current treatment, rehabilitation and discharge/disposition planning.
- D. There is evidence of coordination between the patient's supervisor and the case manager or primary therapist, if applicable.
- E. A discharge plan is formulated that is directly linked to the behaviors and/or symptoms that resulted in admission, and begins to identify appropriate post-supervised living, community-based treatment resources.
- F. All applicable elements in Admission-Intensity and Quality of Service Criteria are applied as related to assessment and treatment, if clinically relevant and appropriate.

- 1. Becker, D., & Grilo, C. (2007). Prediction of suicidality and violence in hospitalized adolescents: comparisons by sex. Canadian Journal of Psychiatry. Revue Canadianne De Psychiatrie, 52(9), 572-580.
- 2. Biering, P., & Jensen, V. H. (2011). The Concept of Patient Satisfaction in Adolescent Psychiatric Care: A Qualitative Study. Journal of Child & Adolescent Psychiatric Nursing, 24(1), 3-10.
- 3. Biering, P., & Jensen, V. H. (2011). The Concept of Patient Satisfaction in Adolescent Psychiatric Care: A Qualitative Study. *Journal of Child & Adolescent Psychiatric Nursing*, 24(1), 3-10.
- Case, B., Olfson, M., Marcus, S., & Siegel, C. (2007). Trends in the inpatient mental health treatment of children and adolescents in US community hospitals between 1990 and 2000. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 64(1), 89-96.
- 5. Cropsey, K., Weaver, M., & Dupre, M. (2008). Predictors of involvement in the juvenile justice system among psychiatric hospitalized adolescents. *Addictive Behaviors*, *33*(7), 942-948.
- Daniel, S., Goldston, D., Harris, A., Kelley, A., & Palmes, G. (2004). Review of literature on aftercare services among children and adolescents. *Psychiatric Services (Washington, D.C.)*, 55(8), 901-912.
- Flanders, S., Findling, R., Youngstrom, E., Pandina, G., Rupnow, M., Jensik, S., et al. (2007). Observed clinical and health services outcomes in pediatric inpatients treated with atypical antipsychotics: 1999-2003. *Journal of Child and Adolescent Psychopharmacology*, 17(3), 312-327.
- 8. McClendon, D. J. (2011). Sensitivity to change of youth treatment outcome measures: a comparison of the CBCL, BASC-2, and Y-OQ. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 67(1), 111-125.
- 9. Meagher, S. (2013). Changing Trends in Inpatient Care for Psychiatrically Hospitalized Youth: 1991-2008. Psychiatric Quarterly, 84(2), 159-168. PDF may be purchased
- 10. Moses, T. (2011). Adolescents' Perspectives About Brief Psychiatric Hospitalization: What is Helpful and What is Not? *Psychiatric Quarterly*, *82*(2), 121-137.
- Patel, N., Hariparsad, M., Matias-Akthar, M., Sorter, M., Barzman, D., Morrison, J., et al. (2007). Body mass indexes and lipid profiles in hospitalized children and adolescents exposed to atypical antipsychotics. *Journal of Child and Adolescent Psychopharmacology*, 17(3), 303-311.
- 12. Santiago, L., Tunik, M., Foltin, G., & Mojica, M. (2006). Children requiring psychiatric consultation in the pediatric emergency department: epidemiology, resource utilization, and complications. *Pediatric Emergency Care*, *22*(2), 85-89.
- Stellwagen, K., Kerig, P. (2010). Relation of callous-unemotional traits to length of stay among youth hospitalized at a state psychiatric inpatient facility. Child Psychiatry & Human Development; Jun 2010, Vol. 41 Issue 3, p251-261.
- 14. Swadi, H., & Bobier, C. (2005). Hospital admission in adolescents with acute psychiatric disorder: how long should it be? Australasian Psychiatry: Bulletin of Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Psychiatrists, 13(2), 165-168.
- Warner, L., Fontanella, C., & Pottick, K. (2007). Initiation and change of psychotropic medication regimens among adolescents in inpatient care. *Journal of Child and Adolescent Psychopharmacology*, 17(5), 701-712.



2017 – 2018 Magellan Healthcare Guidelines

Guideline: Supervised Living, Substance Use Disorders, Rehabilitation, Adult and Geriatric

Effective Date: July 1, 2017

# Last Review Date: April 15, 2017

#### **Criteria for Admission**

The specified requirements for severity of need and intensity and quality of service must be met to satisfy the criteria for admission.

#### I. Admission - Severity of Need

Criteria A, B, C, D, E, and F must be met to satisfy the criteria for severity of need.

- A. The patient has a primary DSM-5 diagnosis of a substance-related disorder which is the cause of significant functional and psychosocial impairment, and the patient's clinical condition can be expected to be stabilized through the provision of medically necessary supervised residential services in conjunction with medically necessary treatment, rehabilitation and support.
- B. The patient's condition requires residential supervision and active support to ensure the adequate, effective coping skills necessary to live safely in the community participate in self-care and treatment and manage the effects of his/her disorder. As a result of the patient's clinical condition (impaired judgment, behavior control, or role functioning), there is a significant current risk of one of the following:
  - 1) Hospitalization or other inpatient care as evidenced by the current clinical course or by the past clinical history, *or*
  - 2) Harm to self or others as a result of the substance-related disorder, as evidenced by the current behavior or by the past history.
- C. The patient's own resources and social support system are not adequate to provide the level of residential support and supervision currently needed as evidenced by one of the following:
  - 1) The patient has no residence and no social support, or
  - 2) The patient has a current residential placement, but the existing placement does not provide adequate supervision to ensure safety and participation in treatment, *or*
  - 3) The patient has a current residential placement, but the patient is unable to use the relationships in the existing residence to ensure safety and participation in treatment, or the relationships are dysfunctional and undermine the stability of treatment.
- D. The patient is judged to be medically stable, able to reliably cooperate with the rules and supervision provided, and able to reliably plan for safety in the supervised residence.

- E. The patient's need for detoxification treatment is not of a severity to require an inpatient hospital level of care.
- F. The patient appears to be motivated and capable of developing skills to manage symptoms or make behavioral change.

# II. Admission - Intensity and Quality of Service

Criteria A, B, C, D, E, F, and G must be met to satisfy the criteria for intensity and quality of service.

- A. Supervised living will provide supervision and support in a residence outside of the patient's own home, and provides needed resources and support not sufficiently available within the patient's own existing social support system. Clinical intervention services, including behavioral, psychological and psychosocial therapeutic interventions, must be provided. These may be provided within supervised residential settings, in lieu of or in addition to outpatient and other community-based mental health services.
- B. The therapeutic focus of treatment should be on the "here and now" and on encouragement of new activities and initiatives.
- C. There is the provision of or coordination with medical and/or nursing services sufficient to manage this patient's comorbid medical conditions.
- D. At least one responsible staff person must be present or available by telephone at all times when there are patients on the premises.
- E. Treatment considers the use of medication-assisted treatment to address cravings and relapse prevention unless medically contra-indicated.
- F. A urine drug screen (UDS) is considered at the time of admission, when progress is not occurring, when substance misuse is suspected, or when substance use and medications may have a potential adverse interaction.
- G. For patients over 60 years of age, assessment of cognitive functioning is warranted with standardized screening tools for cognitive assessment.

### **Criteria for Continued Stay**

### III. Continued Stay

Criteria A, B, C, D, E, F, and G must be met to satisfy the criteria for continued stay.

- A. The patient continues to have significant functional impairment as a result of the substancerelated disorder, and the problems that caused the admission persist to a degree that continues to meet the admission criteria.
- B. There continues to be a risk of one of the following:
  - 1) Inpatient admission, or
  - 2) Harm to self or others.

- C. There is evidence that the resources and social support system which are available to the patient outside the supervised residence continue to be inadequate to provide the level of residential support and supervision currently needed to promote recovery and for safety, self-care or effective treatment, despite current treatment, rehabilitation and discharge/disposition planning.
- D. There is evidence of coordination between the patient's supervisor and the case manager or primary therapist, if applicable.
- E. The patient has the capability of developing skills to manage symptoms or make behavioral change and demonstrates motivation for change, as evidenced by attending treatment sessions, completing therapeutic tasks, and adhering to a medication regimen or other requirements of treatment. Subjective opinions without objective clinical information or evidence are NOT sufficient to meet severity of need based on justifying the expectation that there would be a decompensation.
- F. A discharge plan is formulated that is directly linked to the behaviors and/or symptoms that resulted in admission. The discharge plan receives regular review and revision that includes ongoing plans for timely access to community-based treatment resources that will meet the patient's post-supervised living treatment needs.
- G. All applicable elements in Admission-Intensity and Quality of Service Criteria are applied as related to assessment and treatment, if clinically relevant and appropriate.

- 1. Grella, C., Stein, J., Weisner, C., Chi, F., & Moos, R. (2010). Predictors of longitudinal substance use and mental health outcomes for patients in two integrated service delivery systems. Drug and Alcohol Dependence, 110(1-2), 92-100. Retrieved from EBSCOhost.
- 2. Longinaker, N. (2014). Effect of criminal justice mandate on drug treatment completion in women. *American Journal of Drug & Alcohol Abuse*, 40(3), 192-199.
- McCarty, D., & Argeriou, M. (2003). The Iowa Managed Substance Abuse Care Plan: access, utilization, and expenditures for Medicaid recipients. *The Journal of Behavioral Health Services & Research*, 30(1), 18-25.
- 4. Frydrych, L., Greene, B., Blondell, R., & Purdy, C. (2009). Self-help program components and linkage to aftercare following inpatient detoxification. *Journal of Addictive Diseases*, *28*(1), 21-27.
- 5. Nuttbrock, L., Rahav, M., Rivera, J., & Ng-Mak, D. (1999). Depressive symptoms and mentally ill chemical abusers' perception of the treatment environment in residential settings. *Addictive Behaviors*, 24(1), 139-144.





Guideline: Supervised Living, Substance Use Disorders, Rehabilitation, Child and Adolescent

**Effective Date:** July 1, 2017

Last Review Date: April 15, 2017

### **Criteria for Admission**

The specified requirements for severity of need and intensity and quality of service must be met to satisfy the criteria for admission.

#### I. Admission - Severity of Need

Criteria A, B, C, D, E, and F must be met to satisfy the criteria for severity of need.

- A. The patient has a primary DSM-5 diagnosis of a substance-related disorder which is the cause of significant functional and psychosocial impairment, and the patient's clinical condition can be expected to be stabilized through the provision of medically necessary supervised residential services in a supportive home environment in conjunction with medically necessary treatment, rehabilitation and support.
- B. The patient's condition requires residential supervision and active support to ensure the adequate, effective, coping skills necessary to live safely in the community, participate in self-care and treatment and manage the effects of his/her illness. The patient's family or caregivers demonstrate an inability to adequately care for the patient's physical, emotional, psychosocial and/or supervision needs. As a result of the patient's behavioral problems and/or functional deficits and the family's and/or support system's inability to provide adequate care and supervision of the patient to ensure his/her safety, there is a significant current risk of one of the following:
  - 1) Hospitalization or other inpatient care as evidenced by the current course of the disorder or by the past history of the disorder, *or*
  - 2) Harm to self or others as a result of the substance-related disorder as evidenced by the current behavior or by the past history.
- C. The patient's home environment, family resources and support systems are not adequate to provide the level of residential support and supervision currently needed by the patient.
- D. The patient is judged to be medically stable, able to reliably cooperate with the rules and supervision provided, and can be safe in a supervised residence.
- E. The patient's need for detoxification treatment is not of a severity to require an inpatient hospital level of care.

F. The patient appears to be motivated and capable of developing skills to manage symptoms or make behavioral change.

# II. Admission - Intensity and Quality of Service

Criteria A, B and C must be met to satisfy the criteria for intensity and quality of service.

- A. Supervised living will provide supervision and support in a residence outside of the patient's own home, and provides needed resources and support not sufficiently available within the patient's own existing social support system. Clinical intervention services, including behavioral, psychological and psychosocial therapeutic interventions, must be provided. These may be provided within supervised residential settings, in lieu of or in addition to outpatient and other community-based mental health services.
- A. At least one responsible staff person must be present at all times when there are patients on the premises.
- B. A urine drug acreen (UDS) is considered at the time of admission, when progress is not occurring, when substance misuse is suspected, or when substance use and medications may have a potential adverse interaction.

### **Criteria for Continued Stay**

#### III. Continued Stay

Criteria A, B, C, D, E, F, and G must be met to satisfy the criteria for continued stay.

- A. The patient continues to have significant functional impairment as a result of the substancerelated disorder, and the problems that caused the admission persist to a degree that continues to meet the admission criteria.
- B. The patient's family or caregivers continue to demonstrate an inability to adequately care for the patient's physical, emotional, psychosocial and/or supervision needs and, as a result, there continues to be a risk of one of the following:
  - 1) Inpatient admission, or
  - 2) Harm to self or others.
- C. There is evidence that the resources and social support system which are available to the patient outside the supervised residence continue to be inadequate to provide the level of residential support and supervision currently needed to promote recovery and for safety, care or effective treatment, despite current treatment, rehabilitation and discharge/disposition planning.
- D. There is evidence of coordination between the patient's supervisor and the case manager or primary therapist, if applicable.
- E. The patient has the capability of developing skills to manage symptoms or make behavioral change and demonstrates motivation for change, as evidenced by attending treatment sessions, completing therapeutic tasks, and adhering to a medication regimen or other requirements of treatment. Subjective opinions without objective clinical information or evidence are NOT sufficient to meet severity of need based on justifying the expectation that there would be a decompensation.

- F. A discharge plan is formulated that is directly linked to the behaviors and/or symptoms that resulted in admission. The discharge plan receives regular review and revision that includes ongoing plans for timely access to community-based treatment resources that will meet the patient's post-supervised living treatment needs.
- G. All applicable elements in Admission-Intensity and Quality of Service Criteria are applied as related to assessment and treatment, if clinically relevant and appropriate.

- 1. Frydrych, L., Greene, B., Blondell, R., & Purdy, C. (2009). Self-help program components and linkage to aftercare following inpatient detoxification. *Journal of Addictive Diseases*, *28*(1), 21-27.
- 2. Grella, C., Stein, J., Weisner, C., Chi, F., & Moos, R. (2010). Predictors of longitudinal substance use and mental health outcomes for patients in two integrated service delivery systems. Drug and Alcohol Dependence, 110(1-2), 92-100. Retrieved from EBSCOhost.
- 3. Longinaker, N. (2014). Effect of criminal justice mandate on drug treatment completion in women. *American Journal of Drug & Alcohol Abuse*, 40(3), 192-199.
- McCarty, D., & Argeriou, M. (2003). The Iowa Managed Substance Abuse Care Plan: access, utilization, and expenditures for Medicaid recipients. *The Journal of Behavioral Health Services & Research*, 30(1), 18-25.
- 5. Mensinger, JL; Diamond, GS; Kaminer, Y; Wintersteen, MB. (2006). Adolescent and Therapist Perception of Barriers to Outpatient Substance Abuse Treatment. *American Journal on Addictions*; Dec2006 Supplement, Vol. 15, p16-25.
- Nuttbrock, L., Rahav, M., Rivera, J., & Ng-Mak, D. (1999). Depressive symptoms and mentally ill chemical abusers' perception of the treatment environment in residential settings. *Addictive Behaviors*, 24(1), 139-144.